

## **Death Penalty for Child Rape**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh have passed Bills introducing death penalty for rape of a girl below the age of 12 years.
- A legal backing for death penalty demand in child rape cases needs a relook on both social attitude and government's responsibility.

 $n\n$ 

### What is the rationale behind the legislation?

 $n\$ 

\n

• Firstly, there is the belief that harsher punishments will deter people from committing child rape.

\n

• Also, justice for child survivors demands that the law provide for the death penalty.

\n

 $\bullet$  Lastly, the disgust for the crime makes the perpetrator 'deserving' of death penalty.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$ 

.

 $n\n$ 

# Why are the arguments flawed?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• **Deterrence** - The deterrence argument puts forth that fear of harshest punishment will prevent individuals from committing child rape.  $\n$ 

- $\bullet$  But <u>social</u>, <u>economic</u>, <u>cultural</u>, <u>psychological</u> and <u>other factors</u> in one's life interact in far more <u>complex</u> ways.
  - \n
- Various studies have proved the <u>uncertainty</u> of death penalty in being an <u>effective deterrent</u>.

\n

- $\bullet$  Moreover, in the context of child rape, many <u>preventive measures</u> and policies do have a <u>definitive impact</u> on preventing child rape. \n
- These may include risk assessment and management, cognitive behavioural treatment and community protection measures.
- <u>Diverting resources</u> to the death penalty, is more like taking away from developing these strategies that have greater preventive potential.
- **Justice** The argument of death penalty as justice to the child survivor seeks to  $\underline{\text{cover-up the real reasons}}$  preventing justice.
- Notably, the <u>conviction rates</u> are low under the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.
- There are some grave concerns over the manner of <u>investigations and criminal prosecutions</u> under the POCSO Act.
- There is <u>lack of</u> specialised investigators, prosecutors, judges, mental health professionals, doctors, forensic experts and social workers.
- $\bullet$  Inadequate child protection and rehabilitation services, lack of compliance with child-friendly <u>legal procedures</u> are some other concerns. \n
- Furthermore no real system of positive measures to <u>reduce vulnerabilities of children</u> in this context has been developed.
- Working on these shortfalls is the need of the hour to ensure justice for child survivors.
- **Under-reporting** A large proportion of <u>perpetrators</u> are family members or those close to or  $\underline{known}$  to the family.
- This results in massive <u>underreporting</u> of such crimes.
- $\bullet$  This concern will only intensify with death penalty, as the child's family risks sending a family member or a known person to the gallows. \n

- **Attitude** The abhorrence or <u>disgust associated with the crime</u> and perpetrators of such crimes lies at the core of this legislation.
- $\bullet$  This  $\underline{social\ attitude}$  drives the sentiment that such individuals 'deserve' death penalty.

\n

- Ideas like 'human rights are meant for humans and not devils who are involved in heinous crimes' need assessments.
- **Legal** Under the Constitution, a legislation has to always give a sentencing judge the <u>option to choose between life imprisonment and death penalty</u>.
- Death penalty cannot be declared as the only punishment for any crime.
- The sentencing judges will have to make this choice in the context of child rape too.

\n

• **Arbitrariness** - Arbitrariness <u>in imposing death sentences</u> has been explicitly discussed in judgments of the Supreme Court.

\n

- It has also led the <u>Law Commission</u> to recommend the <u>gradual abolition of the death penalty</u> in one of its reports .
- The arbitrariness concern will only worsen in child rape cases, when judges decide on death sentence based on the <u>'rarest of rare' standard.</u>
- It must be ensured that it does not become a <u>judge-centric exercise</u> with individual predilections of a judge taking over any <u>rule of law</u>.
- Arriving at measures and standards to decide certain instances of child rape as worse than others is a questionable exercise.
- **Vulnerability** The arbitrariness of the death penalty in India also arises from the <u>discriminatory impact of the choice</u> of what constitutes 'rarest of rare'.

\n

- The Death Penalty India Report of 2016 found that <u>over 75% of death row prisoners were extremely poor.</u>
- $\bullet$  They belong to  $\underline{\text{marginalised groups}}$  with barely any meaningful access to legal representation.  $\$
- Thus, in most cases, the weakest sections of the society bear the burden of the death penalty.

\n

• It is important to understand this implication, in the discussion on death penalty for child rape.

 $n\$ 

### What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Measures that the governments ought to take are different from steps meant to convey public abhorrence.
- The social menace of child rape requires sustained planning, engagement, and investment of resources by the government.
- $\bullet$  Death penalty for child rape is a counterproductive diversion and an easy way out on the issue.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Hindu** 

\n

