GST Lessons from Singapore

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Singapore government recently announced changes in the rate of the Goods and Services Tax (GST).

 $n\n$

What is the taxation announcement?

 $n\n$

۱n

• Singapore government announced that it would raise the rate of the GST from 7% to 9%.

\n

• This will be the fourth increase after the GST was introduced there in 1994 at 3%.

\n

- The last increase was in 2007, when it went up from 5 to 7%. \n
- The reason cited for the latest increase is to help the government raise resources.

۱n

- \bullet It is to enhance outlays for health care, infrastructure and security. \n
- \bullet It is said that the increase would take place between 2021 and 2025. \n
- In essence, the duty hike is to be effected over a period of four years.
- \bullet Moreover, the announcement for the increase is made 3 years in advance. \n

 $n\n$

What could India learn?

\n

• Early announcement of duty hike is one element of Singapore's GST structure that India should embrace.

۱n

• There are clear advantages of outlining the new rates in advance before enforcement.

۱n

• In India, there is virtually no time between the duty increase announcement and its enforcement.

\n

• This is an offshoot of the old mindset that prevailed during the licence-permit-controls regime.

\n

• There is no reason to continue the same practice even after decades of liberalisation.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

• Early announcement - Industries could plan their production and sales in keeping with the new duty regime.

\n

- \bullet Also, an advance announcement permits reasonable debate and discussion. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- So, if some changes are considered necessary, they can be introduced as well.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

• A single-rate GST structure is not what India can accept and implement at present.

\n

- It may not be easy to unify the many current GST rates into one rate.
- However, the medium-term goal to reduce the multiplicity of rates could aim for

\n

 $n\n$

\n

i. eliminating the top rate of 28%

۱r

ii. bringing down the 18% rate by a few percentage points $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

iii. raising the lowest rate by a similar margin \n

 $n\n$

\n

- It could contribute to moving towards a two-rate GST regime.
- \bullet Even the transition to a two-rate structure should be implemented in phases.
- This will ensure that the consumers are able to absorb the impact and inflation remains under control.
- \bullet One of the achievements of the Indian GST regime is that it has not been inflationary. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- \bullet However, it must be ensured that items consumed largely by the poorer sections are not taxed higher than the previous rates. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

