

Inclusion of Electricity in GST

What is the issue?

\n\n

With transitional implementation challenges with the GST being sorted out, it is a high priority now that electricity is included in GST.

\n\n

What is the current status?

\n\n

\n

- Currently, there is a confusing multiplicity of electricity taxes. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- Notably, the taxes vary by states and across user categories, low for consumers, high for industrial users, etc. \n
- \bullet Taxes levied by the states vary from 0 to 25% and is an important source of revenue for them.

∖n

- On average, electricity taxes account for about 3% of own tax revenues of the states, going up to close to 9% in some states. \n
- States are, therefore, reluctant to give up the right to levy these taxes. \n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

∖n

• **Costs** - The most serious concern is that costs to industrial users of electricity are higher.

\n

• This is because they include the taxes on inputs that have gone into the

supply of electricity.

∖n

- These include taxes on raw materials (coal, renewables) and other equipment (solar panels and batteries). $$\n$
- Not being part of GST means that no inputs tax credit can be claimed. $\space{1.5mu}{$n$}$
- This certainly results in embedding of the tax in the final price. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- **Embedding of taxes** This clearly hurts manufacturers selling to the domestic market.

\n

- In particular, this affects the exporters of electricity-intensive products. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- It is because they are not liable to any duty drawback i.e. relief for taxes embedded in exports. γn
- Industrial buyers of electricity bear the impact of this in an indirect way. \n
- Populist politics has long ensured that consumers (and other users in agriculture) pay either nothing for electricity or very little. \n
- Ultimately, <u>discoms cross-subsidise and charge higher prices to industrial</u> <u>users</u> to make up for under-charging others. \n
- But the embedding of taxes adds an extra layer of cross-subsidisation. \n
- Totalling up all of these effects could lead to <u>increased costs and lower</u> <u>margins for several industries.</u> \n
- These margins are significant, especially for <u>exporters</u> who face strong <u>international competition</u>.
 \n
- GST Currently, there is a large bias in favour of renewables in GST policy. $\nglines\ngline$
- Inputs to renewables generation attract a GST rate of 5% while inputs to thermal generation attract higher rates of 18%. \n
- Supporting renewables might be a conscious policy. $\slash n$
- But subsidisation is proliferating across policy instruments, making it difficult to quantify the overall support and is thus distorting. \n
- \bullet Thus, support for renewables should be direct and transparent. $\slash n$

• GST should not become the instrument for adding non-transparently to that support.

\n

\n\n

What could possibly be done?

\n\n

\n

- **GST** If electricity is included in GST, there would be no discrimination between renewables and thermal energy. \n
- This is because all inputs going into both forms of electricity generation would receive tax credits.

\n

- Including electricity in GST would also reduce or eliminate embedded taxes in electricity-using products. $\gamman{\cap{bmatrix}} n$
- Loss But both the central and state governments would lose revenues that would now accrue as input tax credits to the private sector. \n
- In addition, state governments would lose taxes from electricity use itself. \n
- The Centre could thus compensate the states only for the direct loss of revenues.

\n

- However, benefits of the reforms would be greater to be shared between the Centre and the states.
 - \n
- **Implementation** To ensure that Centre does not suffer fiscal losses, the implementation with electricity should perhaps wait until GST revenues have stabilised.

∖n

- Inclusion of electricity in the GST would thus - \n

\n\n

\n

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{i}}.$ reduce the costs for manufacturing

\n

ii. improve the competitiveness of exporters

\n

iii. reduce the cross-subsidisation of electricity tariffs that further undermines the competitiveness of manufacturers and exporters \n

 ${\rm iv.}\,$ eliminate biases and restore neutrality of incentives in electricity generation γ_n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

