

India's Stance on Israel-Palestine

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

Advocating the Israel-Palestine peace process is vital for India to restore its influence in West Asia.

 $n\n$

How is India-Israel ties transforming?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Traditionally India has aligned its decisions on UN Assembly and the bilateral ties with Israel based on a pro-Palestinian stance.
- In his visit to Israel last year, Indian PM Modi decided not to visit the Palestinian territories.

\n

• The decision came from a determination to "de-hyphenate" relations with Israel and Palestine.

\n

- This means that India will have its bilateral strategic ties with Israel irrespective of its political stance on Israel-Palestine issue.
- This was underlined in the India-Israel joint statement, which did not refer to the two-state solution.

\n

- It also did not mention the status of Jerusalem, and not even call for an early resumption of the Israel-Palestine peace process.
- \bullet India and Israel instead focussed on strengthening bilateral ties. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet Israel PM's upcoming visit to India will give this relationship an added fillip. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

Is the transformation consistent?

 $n\n$

\n

- India's statements and decisions are far from confirming to its claims of dehyphenated relations with Israel and Palestine.
- Modi is expected to raise the need to pursue the two-state solution with Mr.
 Netanyahu directly during the India visit.
- He is also expected to travel to Palestine in the next few months, and receive Jordanian King Abdullah II in New Delhi.
- At a recent Non-Aligned Ministerial, India's Foreign Minister called India's support to the Palestinian cause a "reference point" in its foreign policy.
- \bullet It was also affirmed that India's "expanding relations" in the region will "only strengthen the Palestinian cause". $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Above all, the shift was evident when India voted for a resolution criticising the U.S. for recognising Jerusalem as the Israeli capital. Click here to know more.

\n

 $n\n$

What was the need for such dynamic position?

 $n\n$

\n

 India's position is explained as a desire to reassert its leadership role on the multilateral stage.

\n

 \bullet This is in keeping with the special place and moral position India has always assumed on the peace process, and its support to a just solution. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

/11 /11

