



## Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The 2019 budget announced the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Samman Nidhi, guaranteeing direct income support for farmers.

\n

- This has renewed the debate on the idea of a universal basic income (UBI).

\n

\n\n

### What is the PM Kisan Samman Nidhi?

\n\n

\n

- Vulnerable landholding farmer families, having cultivable land of up to 2 hectares, will be provided direct income support of Rs. 6,000 a year.

\n

- This is to help them meet farm input and other costs during the crop season.

\n

- The programme would be made effective retrospectively from December 1, 2018.

\n

- It would be fully funded by the Union Government. The interim Budget provides Rs. 75,000 crore for the present and the next year.

\n

\n\n

### What is the UBI concept?

\n\n

\n

- The idea of universal basic income (UBI) is essentially transferring some income to every citizen.

\n

- This is built on the twin principles of universality and a notion of minimum basic income to those living at the poverty line.

\n

- The principle of universality is at the core of it given the problems of targeting.  
\n
- Although the idea of universal basic income (UBI) has been in discussion for decades, no country has implemented it.  
\n
- While a proposal for UBI was rejected by a three-fourth majority in Switzerland, Finland which started a pilot has now discontinued it.  
\n
- But even in Finland, the pilot was not a strict UBI but a social protection scheme aimed at only the unemployed.  
\n
- There have been some pilots by NGOs in developing countries in Asia and Africa.  
\n
- But they have varied in content of transfer and coverage with only few being fully universal.  
\n

\n\n

### **What about targeted support?**

\n\n

- The proposals in the Indian context have mostly been for a targeted income transfer scheme and not UBI.  
\n
- Some form of income support to those who are unable to participate in labour market has been there in most countries in some form or other.  
\n
- E.g. in India, the National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP) pensions for widows, elderly and the disabled  
\n

\n\n

### **How does India's UBI proposal differ?**

\n\n

- In developed countries, the UBI is supposed to supplement existing social security provisions.  
\n
- So it would be over and above the universal provision of health, education and so on.

\n

- But in the Indian context, the arguments in favour of UBI are centred on the inefficiencies of existing social security interventions.

\n

- Essentially, UBI in India seeks to replace some of these interventions with direct cash transfers.

\n

\n\n

## **Why are cash transfers flawed?**

\n\n

\n

- The targeted cash transfer scheme envisions the role of the state to only providing cash income to the poor.

\n

- This approach seeks to absolve the state of its responsibility in providing basic services such as health, education, nutrition and livelihood.

\n

- Besides, it is unfair, as it seeks to create demand for services without supplying the services, leaving the poor to depend on private service providers.

\n

- Evidently, privatisation of basic services such as health and education leads to large scale exclusion of the poor and marginalised.

\n

- In any case, India is among the countries with lowest expenditure to GDP ratio as far as expenditure on health, education and so on are concerned.

\n

\n\n

## **How are in-kind transfers a better option?**

\n\n

\n

- Cash transfers are not encouraging in terms of leakages compared to other schemes of in-kind transfer such as the public distribution system (PDS).

\n

- A move towards universalisation and use of technology enabled Chhattisgarh and Tamil Nadu to reduce leakages in the PDS.

\n

- It shows that universalisation is the key to efficient delivery of services against targeting proposed by the cash transfer schemes.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Also, the cash transfer proposals claim that it would address everything from agrarian crisis, malnutrition, educational deficit to job crisis.

\n

- But again the PDS shows that in-kind transfers are twice as effective in increasing calorie intake compared to equivalent cash transfer.

\n

- Similarly, the crisis in agriculture is unlikely to be resolved by income transfers, where addressing pricing, procurement and other structural issues are essential.

\n

- Likewise, there are different reasons for persistence for some of the above problems which cash transfer may not wholly address.

\n

\n\n

## **What is to be done?**

\n\n

\n

- An appropriate way to address poverty is to enable the citizens to earn their living by providing jobs.

\n

- For those who are willing to work, schemes such as the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme should be strengthened.

\n

- Nevertheless, cash transfers would be relevant for those who are unable to access the labour market or are marginalised due to other reasons.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is a Blessing*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative