



Reimagining Our National Security

What is the issue?

\n\n

- \n
 - Our security discourse is focused primarily on special squads, better weaponry, satellite imagery, radars and transponders.
 - \n
 - While these are indeed relevant, our counter-terrorist architecture needs to be flexible enough adapt to changing terror tactics.
 - \n
 - A rational analysis of whether our preventive machinery is able to match the changing terrorist methodology is required.
 - \n

\n\n

How has Terror Tactics Changed?

\n\n

- \n
 - **Lone Wolf** - a helplessness is growing in countering the vehicle-mowing-down tactics of Islamic State (IS).
 - \n
 - Starting in Nice (France), similar tactics were employed for attacks in Berlin, London, Stockholm, Barcelona and New York.
 - \n
 - It is dreaded as it is more innovative as “low cost terror tactics” as compared to earlier ones ‘Al Qaeda’s on 9/11”.
 - \n
 - Notably, Over 100 people from Kerala are suspected to have joined the IS and many have moved to Syria.
 - \n
 - **Cyber Propaganda** - Counter Terror (CT) agencies are struggling to prevent the growing online terror propaganda.
 - \n

- Notably, none of the Western agencies or private watch groups could detect from where the IS sends their “online” messages.

\n

\n\n

What are the challenges globally?

\n\n

\n

- **Prevention** - Even stopping people already on the “Counter Terror (CT)” radar from committing carnage hasn’t been possible.

\n

- A majority of the lone-wolfs (solo uncoordinated attackers) were n the radars of law enforcement for some or the other reason.

\n

- This signifies that merely intercepting data in bulks is no guarantee to prevent attacks.

\n

- This is because analysing the collected data is not catching up with the wide scope of collection.

\n

- **Prosecution** - Yet another problem is the inability of national investigating agencies to successfully secure evidence.

\n

- Notably, thousands of IS fighters who had returned voluntarily or were forcibly repatriated from abroad haven’t been prosecuted yet.

\n

\n\n

How is the scene in Indian?

\n\n

\n

- **Linear Thinking** - Mumbai’s law enforcement was focused on commando style attacks in the aftermath of 26/11 attacks in 2008.

\n

- But IED attacks (timed devices) restarted - which was seen in Pune Bakery blast of 2010 and later in the Mumbai serial blasts of 2011.

\n

- **Lacking Coordination** - Notably, our air defence capabilities were exposed in the air balloon episode at Ahmadabad airport.

\n

- While it was merely an advertisement, it wasn’t coordinated with Air Traffic Control - and was mistaken for a spy drones.

\n

- Significantly, none of our security institutions took charge of the situation, which could've been a potential attack.

\n

- **Missing Focus** - We don't have any reliable record of our overseas workers who are the source for terror recruitment.

\n

- Notably, the former Ministry of Overseas Indian Affairs (MOIA), which was trying to collect such data during the UPA government.

\n

- But MOIA has been merged with the Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) after the present NDA government took over.

\n

- This has relegated the high-level attention that our overseas workers had to a minor wing of our vast MEA.

\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative