Supreme Court on extra-Judicial Killing

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court ordered CBI investigation into suspected extra-judicial killings in Manipur.

 $n\n$

What is the case?

 $n\n$

\n

 The ruling came on petitions which demanded an inquiry into 1,528 deaths in counter-insurgency operations in Manipur.

 $n\n$

What was the Government's stand?

 $n\$

\n

• The Attorney General had argued against the court ordering an investigation into some specific instances.

\n

• He argued that inquiries conducted by the authorities in Manipur were biased in favour of the citizens owing to local pressure and the ground situation.

\n

 $n\n$

What was the Supreme Court's stand?

 $n\n$

\n

• The cases involved either suspected fake encounters or the use of excessive or retaliatory force.

۱n

\n

\n

- The Supreme Court has reiterated the principle of accountability as an essential part of the rule of law.
- It has taken the view that the killing of a person who was possibly innocent cannot be overlooked owing to mere lapse of time.
- The state cannot take advantage of its own inaction and ruin a probe by citing the delay as a reason.
- The Supreme Court ordered CBI investigation into suspected extra-judicial killings in Manipur.

 $n\n$

What is the Supreme Court's rationale on AFSPA?

 $n\n$

\n

- Last year, the court had ruled that the armed forces cannot escape investigation for excesses even in places where they enjoy special powers.
- It also reiterated that the legal protection provided by the Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act, or AFSPA, will have to yield to the principles of human rights.

\n

- The court's order is yet another reminder that AFSPA has contributed to the climate of impunity in States where it is in force, especially in Manipur.
- The situation under AFSPA is so hostile to the concept of human rights that in many of these cases there was no inquiry at all.
- \bullet In some instances, the First Information Report was against the victim and not against the alleged perpetrators. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

What are the problems faced by NHRC?

 $n\n$

\n

• The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) has been reduced to a

"toothless tiger".

\n

• It is grossly understaffed despite its increasing workload, and many State governments show little respect for its guidelines and instructions.

 $n\n$

 $n\$

Source: The Hindu

\n

