



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative

## A Rethink on Universal Basic Income

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The union government in its recent economic survey proposed for a universal basic income.
- This is a form of social security in which all citizens of a country receive a regular, unconditional sum of money, independent of any other income.
- Experts are of an opinion to rationalise on the characteristics of a basic income in India.

\n

\n\n

### What are the considerations in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- **Form of support** - The question is whether to support vulnerable sections in the **form of goods and services or as cash**.
- Cash gives the discretion to beneficiaries to spend it any way.
- On the other hand, provision of services or goods directly to beneficiaries may be directed to achieve certain objectives in terms of nutrition, health or education.
- There are concerns of leakages and quality in provision as services.
- For India, where the State is already providing a whole lot of services, income support as a supplement to existing services would be the best option.

\n

- **Coverage** - There is a need to rethink on the universality of the income.  
 \n
- The adoption of a universal basic income is bound to be a fiscal burden for a developing country like India.  
 \n
- The attempt must be to think in terms of reducing the number of beneficiaries using easily definable criteria. Some of it could be age, sex, etc.  
 \n
- It should however be ensured that strict targeting does not run into complex problems of identification.  
 \n
- **Level of basic income** - If we were to treat the cut-off used to define poverty as the minimum income, then the total fiscal burden would be enormous.  
 \n
- Level of concentration of poverty within the poverty line and the poverty line itself could be used to arrive at an optimum basic income level.  
 \n
- Support given under the MGNREGA can also be considered in this regard.  
 \n
- **Financing the scheme** - As per experts opinion, the scheme would be feasible if the total expenditure is limited to around 1.5 to 2% of GDP.  
 \n
- There are suggestions to rationalise the expenditure in certain areas to make up this cost. This includes  
 \n

\n\n

- \n
- 1. Exemptions in our tax system.  
 \n
- 2. MGNREGS - as this employment scheme is very similar to the proposed basic income scheme.  
 \n
- 3. Expenditure on fertilizer subsidies.  
 \n
- 4. Higher income groups giving up the supplemental income.  
 \n

\n\n

- \n
- Given all these the remainder must come from raising additional revenue.  
 \n

- Finally, the central government can seriously review the idea of basic income to making it a supplemental income.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative