

## Aarogya Setu

### Why in news?

The Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology (MeitY) has issued a datasharing and knowledge-sharing protocol for the Aarogya Setu app.

### Why these guidelines are issued?

- The MeitY has laid down guidelines for sharing data with government agencies and third parties.
- Prior to this, the only legal shield around the mechanism was the app's privacy policy.
- The executive order issued came amid concerns expressed by a number of experts over the efficacy and safety of the app.
- The order says that in order to formulate appropriate health responses for addressing the Covid-19, data pertaining to individuals is required.
- These guidelines are issued to ensure that data collected from the app is gathered, processed and shared in an appropriate way.

## What data can be collected and shared by Aarogya Setu?

- The data collected by the Aarogya Setu app is broadly divided into four categories which is collectively called response data.
- **Demographic data** includes information such as name, mobile number, age, gender, profession and travel history.
- **Contact data** is about any other individual that a given individual has come in close proximity with and the geographical location at which the contact occurred.
- **Self-assessment data** means the responses provided by that individual to the self-assessment test administered within the app.
- Location data comprises the geographical position of an individual in latitude and longitude.

### What entities will be able to access this data?

• According to the protocol, the response data may be shared by the app's

developer (National Informatics Centre) with the,

- 1. Ministries and departments of Central/State/Union Territory/local governments,
- 2. National and State Disaster Management Authorities,
- 3. Public health institutions of the governments and
- 4. Other third parties
- The data can be shared only if it is strictly needed to directly formulate or implement appropriate health responses.
- For research purposes, the data can be shared with Indian universities or research institutions and research entities registered in India.
- The guidelines also empower universities and research entities to share the data with other such institutions.
- These entities can share only if such sharing is in furtherance of the same purpose for which it has sought approval to access such data.

#### What are the checks and balances?

- The protocol says the response data that can be shared has to be in deidentified form.
- Except for demographic data, the data must be stripped of information that may make it possible to identify the individual personally.
- These data must be assigned a randomly generated ID.
- To an extent, the NIC shall **document the sharing** of any data and maintain a list of the agencies with which data has been shared.
- The protocol also calls for any entity with which the data has been shared to not retain the data beyond **180 days** from the day it was collected.
- The protocol reads back to the Disaster Management Act, 2005 to establish the penalties in case of violation of the protocol.
- It also has a **sunset clause**, which calls for the empowered group to review the protocol after 6 months.
- Unless extended, the protocol will be in force only for 6 months from the date of issue.

# How does the protocol disincentivise reversal of de-identification?

- Any entity which accesses anonymised response data shall not reverse anonymise such data or re-identify individuals in any manner.
- If any person takes any action which has the effect of such data no longer remaining anonymised,
  - 1. Any rights granted to them shall stand terminated, and
  - 2. They shall be liable for penalties under applicable laws for the time being in force.

#### What are the concerns?

- Legal experts have stressed the need for a personal data protection law to back the government's decision to make the app mandatory for everyone.
- The data being shared with third parties is a big concern.
- The third parties with which the data can be shared should have been listed to avoid possibility of misuse.
- The process of de-identifying the data should have been detailed, given that reversing de-identification was not difficult.

**Source: The Indian Express** 

