Aarushi Case - The Systemic Lapses

Why in news?

 $n\n$

\n

• Allahabad High Court recently acquitted the Talwar couple in the murder of their daughter Aarushi.

۱n

• The case has highlighted the need for a sober analysis of our investigative set-up as a whole.

\n

 $n\n$

How did the case evolve?

 $n\$

\n

• 13 year old Aarushi (daughter of the Talwars) and their domestic help Hemraj were murdered in their Noida home in 2008.

\n

- \bullet The Sessions court had convicted the Talwar couple in 2013 for double murder and sentenced them to life imprisonment. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Allahabad High Court has now overturned the conviction and has given Talwars the benefit of doubt.

\n

- In its order, the High Court severely censored the Sessions judgment for having drafted a story to implicate the couple.
- The trial court is said to have proceeded with what were apparently the 'most appropriate' assumptions to arrive at its conclusions.

 $n\n$

\n

• Pressure - CBI initially wanted a closure due to lack of evidence.

\n

ullet But it was overruled by a trial judge, who pressed for further investigation.

 $n\n$

\n

• Investigators cannot be expected to find all the hidden facts in a crime and gaps will always remain.

۱n

• Rather, only a dishonest suppression of facts deserves scrutiny.

• Hence, the judiciary's tendency to exert enormous pressure on investigating agencies is certainly extra-legal.

\n

• In this case, the wrongful conviction of Talwars after declaring a lack of evidence is a direct consequence of this.

۱n

• **Arrests** - Also, the decision of arresting an accused must be the discretion of the investigating officer and not the courts.

۱n

• Court intervention is called for only when investigation is proven to be on a dishonest path.

\n

• **Junior courts** - While, the trial court has indeed delivered an improper judgement in this case, the tone of the current High Court verdict is very aggressive in its criticism.

\n

• This might create a fear psychosis among lower court judges.

\n

 They might want to play it safe in future cases - thereby delaying judgments or blunting the deserved severity of verdicts.

 $n\n$

What are problems with investigative policing?

 $n\$

۱'n

• Some blatant mistakes were committed by the U.P. Police which initially investigated the crime.

\n

• The most serious of these was the failure to protect the scene of crime, and allowing free access to public.

\n

- \bullet Investigation work requires thorough knowledge of procedural law and familiarity with advances in technology. \n
- Direct recruitment to crime branches for investigative training is not possible as grounding in "law and order" maintainance is a pre-requisite.
- Unfortunately, policemen once posted in law and order are excessively reluctant to leave it due to the lure of money & power. $\$

 $n\n$

What are the takeaways for the CBI?

 $n\n$

\n

• Heightened media glare and pressure forced the constitution of multiple teams one after the other for investigating the crime.

۱n

- Hence, investigations need to be insulated from media sensationalism & political pressures for better working.
- While the CBI did come out with a laborious report, the High Court has quashed the report rooted on seemingly correct facts.
- This calls for a rational reflection, as the reputation of the organisation is under question.

\n

 \bullet Ultimately, the fact that the case remains unsolved and that the Talwars had already suffered 4 years in jail is a blot it itself. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

