

# **Addressing Sugar Sector Crisis**

### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The sugar sector is beset with a crisis with high production, low prices and accumulation of sugarcane price arrears.
  - \n It col
- It calls for swift actions from the Centre and State governments to address this.

\n

\n\n

#### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

• The crisis is part of the cycle of ups and downs in the supply and prices of sugarcane and sugar.

\n

• Sugarcane price arrears payable by mills to farmers have been accumulating.

\n

- The total unpaid dues of farmers crossed Rs 160 billion in March-end, 2018.  $\nphin$
- It reflects a liquidity crunch in the sugar industry.  $\slash n$
- Also the financial deprivation of farmers who have already supplied their produce to factories is obvious.  $\gamman \ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

#### What are the measures taken?

\n\n

\n

• **Measures** - A series of measures were taken by the Centre to balance the high production.

\n

- This was done through exports and stabilising sugar prices.  $\slash n$
- The measures include: n

\n\n

∖n

i. doubling of import duty

\n

ii. abolition of export duty

∖n

- iii. mandatory export of 2 million tonnes of sugar  $\normaline{\normalised}{n}$
- ${\rm iv.}\,$  fixing the quota of sugar that each mill can sell in the domestic market  $_{\n}$

\n\n

∖n

• Government's market measures have achieved little because of the slump in the international market.

\n

- Such piecemeal moves are unlikely to bring in a lasting solution.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$ 

\n\n

# What are the Rangarajan committee recommendations?

\n\n

∖n

- The C Rangarajan committee report has made recommendations on sugar deregulation (Click <u>here</u> to know more).
- The Centre has accepted the report in 2013 and implemented some of the recommendations.

∖n

- These include lifting the levy on sugar production and doing away with the monthly release mechanism for open market sale.
- **States** The relatively more crucial recommendations of the committee were left to the states to accept and implement.

\n

- However, the states' pace of action in this regard leaves much to be desired.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- The most pertinent recommendation is to abandon the system of state advised prices for sugarcane.  $\n$
- It was suggested to be replaced with the revenue-sharing formula.  $\n$
- Under this, 70 to 75% of the revenue generated by the mills from the sale of sugar and its by-products is shared with cane suppliers.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  It balances the interests of cane producers and the sugar industry.  $\slash n$
- It lets the production of both sugarcane and sugar to be dictated by market demand.

∖n

- This has not been conceded by most states, barring Maharashtra, Karnataka and, recently, Tamil Nadu.
  \n
- **Farmers** The farmers are now turning suspicious of the revenue-sharing formula.

\n

• The apprehension is that sugar factories always show losses or very little profits.

∖n

- This denies the farmers their legitimate stake in the revenue.  $\slash n$ 

\n\n

# What is desired?

\n\n

∖n

• A sound long-term strategy is the need of the hour.

∖n

- Linking the prices of inputs (mainly sugarcane) with those of the output (sugar and by-products) is crucial.  $\n$
- States have to act swiftly in implementing the C Rangarajan committee report suggestions.

\n

• The revenue-sharing model, indeed, holds the key to production and price stability in this sector.

\n

• Better supervision and audit of sugar mills' accounts is imperative to restore

the farmers' confidence in revenue sharing formula.  $\n$ 

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: Business Standard

∖n

