

# **Addressing the Growing Income Inequality**

## What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Fast growing Asian economies have lifted millions out of poverty.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- But they have developed high income inequalities in recent decades, which need to be addressed.

\n

\n\n

#### What do the numbers say?

\n\n

∖n

- Gini coefficient is a common measure of inequality in which 0 signifies perfect equality and 1 means high inequality.  $\nphi$
- From 1990-2012, the net Gini coefficient increased dramatically in China, from 0.37 to 0.51.
  - \n
- During the same period, it rose from 0.43 to 0.48 in India.  $\nphin$
- In South Korea, the share of income held by the top 10% rose from 29% in 1995 to 45% in 2013.  $\n$
- Even the "Asian Tigers"—Hong Kong, Singapore & Taiwan, which were previously known for equity, face rising inequality.  $\n$

\n\n

## What has caused this trend?

\n\n

\n

- Increasingly open borders have made it easier for businesses to find the cheapest locations for their operations.  $\n$
- In particular, China's entry into global markets has put downward pressure on the wages of low-skill production workers elsewhere.
- Also, new technologies raise demand for skilled workers, while reducing demand for their less-skilled counterparts.
  \n
- This expansion of disruptive technological has hence led to expansion of the wage gap between skilled and unskilled.  $\n$
- Notably, return on investments also increased for capitalists due to technological progress and process enhancement.  $\n$
- Hence, while new opportunities have opened for some people, many have face wage stagnation and unemployment due to unbridled globalization and disruptive technologies.

١

# What are its implications?

\n\n

\n\n

\n

• Income inequality often goes hand in hand with inequality of opportunity for the future.

\n

- With limited educational and economic prospects, talented youth from disadvantaged backgrounds don't get their due share.  $\n$
- This deprivation can potentially erode the consensus in favour of pro-growth economic policies, undermine social cohesion, and spur political instability.  $\n$

\n\n

## What can be done?

\n\n

∖n

- To avoid such a future, countries need to ensure opportunities for youth, irrespective of their background, to ascend the ladder.  $\n$ 

- As market mechanisms aren't enough to achieve this, governments must step in to ensure that gains are shared more equally.  $\n$
- Income Redistribution Notably, some governments have been attempting to tackle inequality with redistribution policies.
   \n
- Considerably raise the minimum wages and ensuring stricter adherence to it is being considered by many policy makers.
- Also raising the tax rates for the highest income earners & corporate entities to finance welfare is another consideration.
- Human Development Effective development of human capital is the best way to secure futuristic growth and equity. \n
- This requires enhanced social safety nets and redistributive tax-and-transfer programmes, as well as quality education for all.  $\n$
- Also, improving the quality of higher education and timely curriculum reforms to match the dynamic demands of the labour market is important.  $\n$

\n\n

## What are the challenges?

\n\n

∖n

- While redistribution measures have strong public support, they could end up hurting the economy due reduced investment.  $\n$
- As this could in turn hurt job creation and tax collection, redistributive decisions must be taken with caution.  $\n$
- There is a growing temptation to reject globalization in its totality and embrace protectionism which would be regressive.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

## **Source: Live Mint**

\n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative