

AgustaWestland Helicopter Deal Case - Extradition of Christian Michel

Why in news?

 $n\n$

British businessman Christian Michel, a key accused in the AgustaWestland deal case, was extradited to India.

 $n\n$

What is the AgustaWestland deal case?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Deal** - The Congress-led UPA government had in February 2010 signed a contract with AgustaWestland, a UK-based helicopter manufacturing company.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- AgustaWestland is the British arm of Italian firm Finmeccanica.
- The contract was to purchase 12 AW101 helicopters for the Indian Air Force for Rs 3,600 crore.

۱n

- These helicopters were supposed to be used for ferrying the President of India, the Prime Minister, and other such VVIPs.
- **Allegation** It was alleged that technical specifications of the required choppers were tweaked.
- \bullet This includes lowering of the service ceiling of the helicopter from 6,000 m to 4,500 m to help AgustaWestland qualify and win the bid. \n

- AgustaWestland's parent company Finmeccanica allegedly paid kickbacks to win the VVIP chopper deal with the Indian Air Force.
- \bullet The CBI has alleged there was an estimated loss of Euro 398.21 million (app. Rs 2,666 crore) to the exchequer in the deal. $\$
- Michel is one of the three middlemen who brokered the deal, besides Guido Haschke and Carlo Gerosa.

• They are being probed in the case by the enforcement directorate (ED) and the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI).

- He has, reportedly, paid bribes to officials and politicians to make the contract in favour of AgustaWestland.
- In January, 2014, India scrapped the contract over alleged breach of contractual obligations and charges of paying kickbacks for securing the deal.

 $n\n$

How has extraditions been for India?

 $n\n$

۱'n

\n

India's track record with securing the extradition of fugitives from justice
has been modest as only one third of all requests since 2002 have been
accepted.

\n

\n

- Amongst the 44 countries India has extradition treaties with, the United Arab Emirates has been the most amenable.
- It has deported or extradited 19 of 66 fugitives to India in the past decade and a half.

• A reason for the low success rate in the past is the perception that India's criminal justice system delivers too slowly.

- E.g. the last high-profile case of the 1993 Mumbai blasts accused Abu Salem, who was extradited from Portugal in 2005
- His trial was finally completed in 2017, when he was sentenced to life.

Why is the present case unique?

 $n\n$

\n

• Christian Michel is a UK citizen living in Dubai, UAE.

\n

• So being not an Indian national, extraditing to a third country, India was challenging.

۱n

• Unlike similar cases in which extradition was granted, he is not wanted on serious criminal charges like murder.

\n

• Also, his extradition comes at a time when several other cases of businessmen who have fled India are pending.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the need for caution?

 $n\n$

\n

• The extradition to India of Christian Michel is a diplomatic success for a number of reasons.

۱'n

• The government must be aware that its actions in the Michel case are under close scrutiny.

\n

• This is not just from the UAE, whose courts deliberated for some months on whether to send him to India, but other countries too.

۱n

 Notably, India has about 150 pending requests at present with other countries.

\n

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

• If handled professionally and without politicisation, Mr. Michel's extradition could reveal important leads in the helicopters case.

\n

- It would also bolster India's reputation as a country serious about ensuring that justice is served, and expeditiously so.
- The CBI, which has received custody of Mr. Michel from a special court, must adhere to internationally accepted norms of interrogation.
- Failing on this would make other fugitives oppose pleas for their extradition to India.

\n

- The U.K. has also taken up its request for consular access to Mr. Michel.
- Notably, India's record in fulfilling its diplomatic obligations in this regard may influence high-profile cases in U.K. courts, including Vijay Mallya's and Nirav Modi's.

\n

 $n\$

\n\n

Source: Indian Express, The Hindu

\n

