

# All India Judicial Service

## What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

• The proposal to create an All-India Judicial Service (AIJS) is a long debated one.

∖n

It has again come to the fore with a fresh move to implement it. However opposition is also getting stronger.

\n\n

## What is the proposal?

\n\n

\n

 $\bullet$  The idea was first mooted by the Law Commission in the 1950s to have an AIJS.

\n

• Under this the district judges will be recruited centrally through an all-India examination.

\n

- They will then be allocated to each State along the lines of the AIS.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- This is expected to ensure a transparent and efficient method of recruitment to attract the best talent in India's legal profession.  $\n$

\n\n

## What are the human resource problems in judiciary?

\n\n

\n

 $\bullet$  The  $standard\ of\ legal\ education$  across the country except for a few law

schools is not improved or updated for a long time.

\n

- Unremunerative pay Despite effort by the Supreme Court to ensure uniformity in pay scales across States in the All India Judges' Association case, it is still very low.
  - \n
- Also, judiciary have fewer avenues for growth, promotion and limited avenues for career advancement.
- There is low district judge representation in the High Courts, as less than a third of seats in the High Courts are filled by judges from the district cadre. The rest are appointed directly from the Bar.

\n\n

#### Can AIJS address these?

\n\n

\n

- The idea of an AIJS is opposed mainly because it seems to lack basic understanding of the above problems with judiciary.
- A national exam is said to be disadvantageous to the less privileged candidates from being able to enter the judicial services.  $\n$
- Taking into account local laws, practices and customs which vary widely across States and even training judges in this line would be a problem.  $\n$
- The decentralised approach of the High Court and a centralised one of the AIS seem to have same low efficacy in filling up the vacancy.  $\n$

\n\n

## What is to be done?

\n\n

\n

- A combination of delays, cost, uncertainty, inefficiency and corruption are among the problems of judiciary.
- These problems are less to be solved by centralising the manner of recruitment of judges.
  - \n E a ann a
- Focussing attention on implementing more direct solutions to address these

problems would be wise. n

\n\n

\n\n

#### Source: The Hindu

∖n

