



Anti-Sikh riot case - Judgement

Why in news?

\n\n

The Delhi high court has recently reversed the acquittal charges by the trial court on the 1984 anti-Sikh riot convict.

\n\n

What is the case?

\n\n

- \n
 - The case relates to killing of five Sikhs and burning down of a gurdwara in Raj Nagar area in south-west Delhi on 1 and 2 November 1984.
 - \n
 - This has happened at the backdrop of a riot that broke out following the assassination of then Prime Minister Indira Gandhi on 31 October of that year.
 - \n
 - Thousands of people were killed in the riots.
 - \n
 - Six accused, including Sajjan Kumar, who was a member of Parliament at that time, were tried in 2010.
 - \n
 - Three years later, the lower court had convicted five of the accused but acquitted Kumar of all the charges.
 - \n
 - The trial court had rejected the testimony of eye witnesses against him.
 - \n
 - The case was appealed by the CBI, riots victims and the convicts challenging the trial court's order.
 - \n
 - The Delhi High Court reversed the acquittal and sentenced Kumar to imprisonment for the remainder of his life.
 - \n

- The court accused him of promoting enmity and for acts against communal harmony.
\n
- It has also said that the riots were a “crime against humanity”.
\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

- \n
- The court says that the Delhi Police and its Riot Cell had failed to carry out a genuine investigation.
\n
- The police have failed to record any untoward incident in the station’s daily register and has also avoided the examination of key witnesses.
\n
- Thus this case is an example not only of the slowness of judicial processes but also of derailed investigations.
\n
- The investigation made meaningful progress only after it has been transferred to CBI based on a recommendation by the Nanavati Commission.
\n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

- \n
- The Delhi high court has heard testimonies of fearless and truthful witnesses and has proceeded the case accordingly, reigniting the hope for substantial justice.
\n
- The judgement reinforce the hope that political patronage, administrative complicity and plain muscle power cannot prevail over the truth all the time.
\n
- However, the court has flagged the need for a separate law for punishment for crimes against humanity and genocide, since they are rarely invoked in domestic crimes.
\n
- Thus, given the major communal flashpoints in recent history, the legislature should look at the need for it and implement accordingly.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n\n

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative