

Assessing Ease of Doing Business Ranking

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

World Bank's chief economist recently claimed that the bank's flagship "Ease of Doing Business" ranking might have been unfairly manipulated.

 $n\n$

What is the Ease of Doing Business ranking?

 $n\n$

\n

- 'Ease of Doing Business' ranking is a rank list published by the World Bank.
- It rates various countries on the basis of their pro-business policies.
- The rankings maps regulations in a country on parameters such as the ease of "starting a business, enforcing contracts and securing construction permits".

 $n\n$

What are the shortfalls?

 $n\$

\n

- \bullet Introduced in 2003, the report is premised only on the legal setup. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- \bullet It falls short of reflecting the practical aspects of how things move on the ground. $\mbox{\sc ho}$

• Moreover, the methodology has not remained the same and now doubts have been expressed from within the bank.

• Notably, the question raised is not about the technicality of continuously

changing methodology but about the very integrity behind it.

- \bullet Besides, over the years, several national governments have made making good ranks a top priority. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The governments, at times, are merely tailoring their policies to secure a better rank based on WB's parameters.
- Genuinely framed pro-business policy frameworks are thus not happening.

 $n\n$

What is Chile's case?

 $n\n$

\n

- The immediate case in point was Chile, which saw its ranking sway massively over the years, from 25 (2006) to 49 (2010) to 34 (2014) to 55 (2017).
- The apprehension that Chile's rankings were manipulated based on 'which political faction ruled the country', has taken root.
- Researchers from the "Centre for Global Development (CGDev)" have shown how changes in the methodology were employed to obtain such rankings.
- \bullet It is possible that certain regimes make it easier to do business than others.
- However, repeated revision in methodology clearly seems to have nudged results to attain desired rankings.

 $n\n$

What is the case with India?

 $n\n$

\n

- Analysing the trend of rankings bring out huge illogical variations in rankings of many countries.
- Notably, India's rank has risen from 142 to 100 between 2014 and 2018.
- Even though the World Bank has clarified that it stands by India's ranking, questions on the authenticity of the country's achievements continue.

- Significantly, India's ranking was already under criticism, as the WB ranking had limited its assessment to only the cities of Delhi and Mumbai.
- \bullet World Bank has to address the shortfalls and integrity questions, to restore its credibility. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

