

Averting BoP crisis

Why in news?

 $n\n$

India now faces very severe global headwinds that can create a major strain on the balance of payments (BOP), since its exports have been stagnant for several years.

 $n\n$

What are the contributing factors?

 $n\n$

\n

- The anti-globalisation and anti-immigration drives in the United States and parts of Europe may result in reduced market access for exports of goods and services.
- This coupled with a likely rise in US interest rates may negatively impact the flow of remittances and foreign institutional investments.
- Continuation of rising oil prices as a result of tensions in West Asia may increase our import bill.
- Nationalist policies in advanced countries may limit the flow of foreign direct investment and dampen our "Make in India" initiative.
- Our information technology giants are already affected by the impending H-1B visa reforms.

\n

\n

 Trade barriers related to the emerging issues in data privacy and data restrictions are now the areas of maximum concern for the future growth of off-shore professional and technical services models (such as business process outsourcing or knowledge process outsourcing).

What can be other impacts?

 $n\n$

\n

• The benign neglect of exports in the government and elsewhere is a cause of great concern.

\n

- Our foreign exchange reserves were boosted largely by the rapid growth of global trade and the sharp decline in oil prices in the last decade.
- These can be sharply eroded by the emerging global headwinds that are mentioned above.

\n

 $n\$

What India should do?

 $n\n$

۱n

- India needs to urgently implement the next-generation trade reforms to boost exports and promote sustained growth and jobs.
- Given the complexity of the current global trade landscape, trade reforms must be designed and implemented in a timely manner by a competent wing of the government.

۱n

• India urgently needs better management of its international economic relationship.

\n

- Given the cross-cutting nature of the 21st century trade agenda, leadership should not rest with any line ministry.
- What is needed is an "apex entity" like the United States Trade Representative in the US, which has a clear mandate from the PM to consult with stakeholders and manage the process of developing strategy.
- \bullet This entity cannot be solely responsible for implementation, as that will by necessity involve many players inside and outside government. \n
- Instead, its role in the implementation phase is to act as a coordinator and convener, and to have the mandate to monitor and assess

implementation by the relevant agencies within the government. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

