Bailout Package for Sugarcane Farmers

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Cabinet has recently approved a Rs 7,000-crore bailout package for sugarcane farmers.

 $n\n$

What is the need?

 $n\n$

\n

- There has been an excess production in the current sugar season.
- This has depressed the market price of sugarcane.
- It has dropped from an average of Rs.37 a kg in the previous season to Rs.26 now.

۱n

- \bullet This in turn has adversely affected the liquidity position of sugar mills.
- It has led to the accumulation of Rs 22,000-crore of cane price arrears by the sugar mills.

\n

- Sugar mills share 70 to 75% of the revenue generated from the sale of sugar and its by-products, with cane suppliers.
- \bullet This is as per the revenue-sharing formula of C Rangarajan committee on sugar deregulation. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

What are the measures in this regard?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) has decided for a bail out package for the cane farmers.

۱n

- It has also set the minimum selling price for sugar at Rs 29 per kg.
- The government will procure sugar from mills at this fixed minimum price.
- This is to remove the problem of liquidity of sugar mills and help them clear their dues.

\n

- The package comprises shares for creating a 30 lakh MT buffer stock.
- ullet Besides this, a portion would go as soft loan for mill owners.
- This is to increase molasses and ethanol production capacity to divert surplus sugarcane.
- Additionally, another share would be towards interest subvention for the loan.

\n\n

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

۱'n

\n

- The plan promises an assured minimum pricing of Rs.29 a kg.
- \bullet It may dissipate the sugar mills' immediate liquidity problems to an extent.
- \bullet However, sugar mills say this is below their production cost of Rs.35 a kg. $\ensuremath{^{\text{Nn}}}$

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet It could help mitigate only about 40% of the outstanding arrears to sugarcane farmers.

\n

• The production will rise again in the coming season and the extent of arrears would also rise.

۱n

 Besides, the loans and interest subsidies component will take time to materialise.

\n

- The recent decision, thus, sticks to the old-style pricing and stock-holding interventions.

\n

- ullet It does little to address the structural flaws in the sugar sector.
- \bullet The sops-driven solution could distort the agriculture sector further. $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\mbox{\sc h}}}}$

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

 Continuing the complex web of state controls in a politically-sensitive sector is no solution.

\n

- \bullet The best way is to address the problem of excess supply in the long run.
- Ensuring some linkage, between the price paid for sugarcane and the endproducts it is used for, would help.
- Also, a shift to market-driven cropping decisions should seriously be considered.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard, The Hindu

\n

