

Challenges to India's Economic Aspirations

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Some projects have touted India to grow at high rate of above 7% in the coming years due to some positive economic indicators. \n
- But these seem to ignore the role of the present favourable external circumstances and other inherent risks within the domestic economy. \n

\n\n

What are favourable indicators?

\n\n

∖n

- While demonetisation precipitated in the Indian growth rate dipping below 6%, it has now recovered to about 7%.
- Going ahead, India is projected to grow at 7% in the current year and become the world's fastest growing economy (overtaking China). \n
- Additionally, the Indian economy's foundations have also been strengthened in the last few years on multiple fronts. \n
- Inflation has moderated to around the 4-5% range and trade balances with the rest of the world have improved. \n
- The current account deficit has come down to about 1.5% of GDP. $\space{\space{1.5}\s$
- Forex Reserves with the RBI has swelled to a comfortable \$420 billion. \n
- Moreover, despite political compulsions, central government has curtailed budget deficits considerably, albeit a minor target slip.

\n

• While these figures paint a dynamic and optimistic picture of the economy, there are significant vulnerabilities that deserve attention. \n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- **Investment** For sustaining high growth, the economy need to continuously add to its "production capacity" through new investment flows. \n
- Productive investment is money that is spent for building roads, ports and other public infrastructure, factories, and workforce guality enhancement. \n
- India is seeing a sharp decline in the rate of investments in recent years which has come down from 34% of GDP in 2014 to 30% currently. \n
- More strikingly, the current investment rate is the lowest in about 15 years.
- This makes it incompatible with the aspired high growth rate of 7-8%. \n
- **Industrial Production** The industrial sector is a highly critical engine for growth in the Indian context. \n
- But this has shown weakness lately.
- Manufacturing grew by 6% in 2016, but plummeted to an abysmal 2% following demonetisation. \n
- The subsequent recovery has not been that encouraging. \n
- **Banks** Banking finance plays a significant role in the economy, particularly in the context of small and medium sized enterprises and agriculture. \n
- Mounting NPAs has created enormous stress in the sector, which has affected the ability of bankers to lend out to businesses, which is hurting the economy.

 - \n
- Notably, the pace of lending has come down significantly from 2014-16, when it grew at 10% to the present growth rate of a mere 6%. \n
- Also, the proportion of NPAs to the gross outstanding loans has doubled

during the same period from 5% in 2015 to 10% currently. n

- Fiscal Statistics While the majority focus has always tended to be on the Central budget, the many states have displayed little fiscal discipline. n
- The combined Fiscal Deficit of the centre and all states accounts for as large as 6.5% of GDP. $\$
- Moreover, India's overall sovereign debt is a whopping 70% of GDP, which has not come down considerably in recently years.
 \n
- This is in contrast with a number of other emerging market economies that had reduced their sovereign debt considerably over the past 5 years. \n

\n\n

What are the potential risks?

\n\n

∖n

- Inflation While policy measures have helped control inflation, the decline in inflation has largely been driven by extraneous factors. \n
- Food and energy prices are important components of the 'Consumer Price Index' (CPI) and decline in their prices has brought inflation down. \n
- If prices of these commodities increase in future, it would be difficult for the RBI (through its monetary policy actions) to keep inflation under control. \n
- Imports The prices of "Oil, gold and coal", which constitute almost 50% of total imports in India, has declined considerably in recent years. \n
- Hence, the bettering of India's trade balance is more a reflection of extraneous factors (decline in prices of imports), rather than increase in exports.

\n

- Additionally, lower oil prices have also benefited the Central budget as it has helped in reducing fuel subsidies and has bolstered fiscal prudence. \n
- But the prices of the critical import commodities could increase anytime and end up constraining the trade balance. \n

Why have the growth projections failed to capture these?

\n\n

\n

- \bullet While some claim that growth numbers are being tampered to project an optimistic economic outlook, it would be a stretch to affirm these. \n
- But one can argue that there is a genuine difficulty for statisticians to quantify the numerous variables and its potential impact on GDP. \n
- Also, initial estimates are based on formal sector projections, which get revised subsequently as the information from the informal arenas comes in. \n
- It is to be noted that both demonetisation and GST had largely affected the informal businesses in the short term, which needs to be accounted for. \n
- Hence, while the projections may be academically correct, there is a need for considerable caution.

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n

