

Changing the Fiscal Year

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

Madhya Pradesh government has announced that it will implement the aligning of fiscal year (FY) with the calendar year by presenting its budget for 2018 in December 2017.

 $n\n$

Who mooted this idea?

 $n\$

\n

• The finance ministry (FM) had mooted a change in the Financial Year in 2016.

\n

- In July 2016, the FM constituted a committee led by the former chief economic adviser, **Shankar Acharya**, to examine the "desirability and feasibility" of changing the Financial Year.
- In December, after the committee submitted its report, the ministry denied an imminent change in the FY.

 $n\n$

What are the justifications given?

 $n\n$

\n

- Well before the Acharya Committee finalised its report, a discussion note put out by NITI Aayog — written by its member, Bibek Debroy, and Kishore Desai — had listed several reasons:
- The primary one being that it would arm the government with levers to

effectively and adequately reorient the budget formulation exercise.

 Their point was that the April-March year prevented policymakers and the government from taking into account the monsoon situation — important because the Budget is an important tool to address socio-economic requirements, and the farm sector dominates the country's socio-economic dynamics.

\n

- They also pointed to the higher share of the farm sector in the output of some northern states. There was also a mention of global practices.
- China, Brazil, France and Germany follow the calendar year; in the United States, the federal government's fiscal year runs from October 1 to September 30.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

• Most top US and European firms have the calendar year as their business year.

\n

 $n\n$

What do the critics say?

 $n\n$

\n

\n

- The proposal had evoked mixed responses with the NITI Aayog supporting it and the industry body, ASSOCHAM, issuing a trenchant critique.
- The ASSOCHAM, had argued, changing the financial year will not only mean
 a change in book-keeping, but also in the entire infrastructure of
 accounting software, taxation systems, human resource practices involving
 huge costs for both big and small industries.
- The shift would cost hundreds of crores of rupees, the industry body had said.
- Aligning the FY with the calendar year would require the budget to be presented in October or November, about the time when sowing for Rabi crops — most importantly, wheat and mustard — begins.
- It defies logic as to how the finance minister will conduct this exercise with, at best, a sketchy idea of the harvest next year.

\n

• From an agricultural standpoint, in fact, July-June would be the ideal financial year, as Kharif sowings peak in July with the arrival of the monsoon a month earlier.

۱n

• There is another, larger point — which is about whether the impact on a sector whose contribution to national income has declined to well below 20%, should be the driver for such a major change.

 $n\n$

What is the way ahead?

 $n\$

\n

- Perhaps it would have been better to first adopt an accrual-based system
 of accounting, which better reflects the state of the government's accounts.
 \n
- The Finance Commission had recommended this earlier and a previous government had accepted it in principle and mandated the Government Accounting Standards Advisory Board to draw up a detailed roadmap.
- This would reflect more accurately the government's assets and liabilities, and provide a far more comprehensive and transparent picture of its 'balance sheet'.

\n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

