

# **Chasing Unreliable Ratings**

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- Nations give too much importance to credit rating agencies despite their structural flaws and inconsistent record.
- This calls for reforming the ways in which rating agencies operate.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

#### How did the rating ecosystem evolve?

\n\n

∖n

- Modern day credit rating agencies were first established in early  $19^{\rm th}$  century U.S., which rated the ability of a merchant to pay his debts.  $\n$
- Soon, such ratings were being applied to equity stocks and demand also rose for independent market information, offering trustworthy analysis.  $\n$
- The big three of the ratings world (Moody, Fitch, and Standard & Poor) had already reached a commanding positions in the 1920s.  $\n$
- By the 1960s, ratings had spread over to commercial paper, bank deposits, and the global bond market (including sovereign bonds).  $\n$
- Despite their vital role in the global finance, rating agencies are marred with frequent allegations of impropriety and inaccurate ratings.  $\n$

\n\n

### What were some of the highprofile rating failures?

\n\n

\n

- Rating agencies are accused of having failed to predict the 1990s East Asian crisis and then for overly under-rating them when the event unfolded.  $\n$
- The U.S. Department of Justice launched an investigation in 1996 into a potential improper pressuring of issuers by Moody's.  $\n$
- Such agencies have been subject to a range of lawsuits, especially after Enron's collapse and during the recent subprime mortgage crisis in the U.S.  $\n$
- Moody's had been completely oblivious of the building bubble in the run up the sub-prime mortgage crisising in the U.S. in 20017.  $\n$
- Subsequently, the "National Commission on the Causes of Financial and Economic Crisis" had held that the the failure of rating was partly responsible.

∖n

- Also, Standard & Poor's (S&P) paid \$1.4 billion for rubber stamping risky mortgage bonds as safe.
  \n
- $\bullet$  Recently, the relegation of Greece, Portugal and Ireland to "junk" status is said to have lead to a sovereign-debt crisis in these countries.  $\n$
- This had worsened the unemployment situation and the Euro zone stability.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$

\n\n

## What are some structural issues?

\n\n

∖n

- Popular rating agencies can have a global impact, affecting the fiscal fortunes of nations as they can potentially trigger capital outflows.  $\n$
- Inconsistencies In Indian, ratings have had a mixed record and SEBI had to intervene in some cases and tighten rules and disclosure norms for agencies. \n
- Many Indian economists also believe that there is a lack of due recognition for India's economic achievements in most reports of foreign based ratings. \n
- Notably, such inconsistencies have led to moves by Russia and China to set up their own ratings agencies to provide better information to investors.  $\n$

\n\n

∖n

- **Conflict of Interests** Most rating agencies generate a significant portion of revenues through non-rating activities, which makes them structurally flawed.
  - ∖n
- Despite maintaining an iron curtain between their rating and non-rating businesses, common management gives ample scope for conflict of interests.  $\n$
- Numerous studies have showcased that rating agencies seek to provide issuers, with non-rating services, along with potentially influencing a higher rating.

\n

\n\n

### What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- The services offered by rating agencies are indeed crucial in the market and hence we too need to nurture strong indigenous rating agencies.  $\n$
- But we also need to place multiple safeguards to minimise market distortions, by ensuring greater supervision over anomalous upgrades or downgrades.
  - \n
- Corporates can be mandated to change rating agencies on a regular basis and "issuer-pays" model needs to change to an "investor-pays" model.  $\n$
- Also, SEBI can explore options to bar credit rating agencies from providing non-rating advisory services.
- Above all, government fiscal decisions should not be skewed towards chasing ratings and rather be focused on employment generation and innovation.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: The Hindu

∖n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative