



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative

## Citizenship (Amendment) Bill, 2016

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Union government has proposed amendments to the Citizenship Act of 1995 through the Citizenship (Amendment) Bill, 2016.

\n\n

### What is the Citizenship Act of 1995 about?

\n\n

\n

- In India, the Citizenship Act, 1995 prescribes five ways of acquiring citizenship -

\n

\n\n

\n

1. Birth

\n

2. Descent

\n

3. Registration

\n

4. Naturalization

\n

5. Incorporation of the territory

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Citizen** - Under **Descent condition**, a person born outside India on or after January 26, 1950, but before December 10, 1992, is a citizen of India if his/her father was a citizen of India at the time of his/her birth.

\n

- According to the Citizenship Act (1955), an **illegal immigrant** is defined as a person who enters India without a valid passport or stays in the country after the expiry of the visa permit.  
\n
- An immigrant who uses false documents for the immigration process is also an illegal immigrant.  
\n
- In short, illegal migrants belonging to the Hindu, Sikh, Buddhist, Jain, Parsi or Christian religious communities from Afghanistan, Bangladesh or Pakistan will be imprisoned or deported.  
\n
- **Not a citizen** - Under Article 9 of the Indian Constitution, a person who voluntarily acquires citizenship of any other country is no longer an Indian citizen.  
\n
- From December 3, 2004, onwards, persons born outside of India shall not be considered citizens of India unless their birth is **registered** at an Indian consulate within one year of the date of birth.  
\n
- In Section 8 of the Citizenship Act 1955, if an adult makes a declaration of renunciation of Indian citizenship, he loses Indian citizenship.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the need for the amendment?**

\n\n

- \n
- Many persons of Indian origin have been applying for citizenship under the Citizenship Act of 1955, but are unable to produce proof of their Indian origin.  
\n
- [These include persons belonging to the six “minority communities” from Afghanistan, Pakistan and Bangladesh.]  
\n
- Hence, they are forced to apply for citizenship by naturalization which prescribes 12 years’ residency as qualification.  
\n
- Such a long-drawn process denies them the opportunities and advantages that may accrue only to the citizens of India.  
\n
- Nevertheless, they are likely to stay in India permanently. So it calls for some kind of a legislative safeguard to them.  
\n

\n\n

## What are the recent proposals?

\n\n

- \n
  - The proposed law amends the original Citizenship Act of 1955.
  - \n
    - It proposes that Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis and Christians from Afghanistan, Bangladesh and Pakistan will not be treated as illegal immigrants.
    - \n
      - This is despite them having entered India without valid documents.
      - \n
        - The amendments seek to include a separate column in the citizenship form for applicants belonging to six minority communities from Pakistan, Afghanistan and Bangladesh.
        - \n
          - They will not face deportation as illegal immigrants under the Passport (Entry into India) Act of 1920 and the Foreigners Act of 1946.
          - \n
            - The amendment shortens the period of residency from 12 years (mentioned in the Citizenship Act, 1955) to 7 years, for gaining permanent citizenship by **naturalization**.
            - \n
              - The Bill also empowers the government to cancel registration as OCI in case of any violation of the Citizenship Act or any other laws.

\n\n

\n

\n\n

## What are the concerns to be addressed?

\n\n

- \n
  - The proposed bill violates the basic tenets of the Constitution.
  - \n
    - By distinguishing illegal immigrants on the basis of religion, the proposed law goes against the fundamental right to equality under Article 14.
    - \n
      - The protection of Article 14 applies equally to both citizens and foreigners.

- The Bill would hamper what the Assam National Register of Citizens seeks to achieve in the State.  
\n
- The NRC does not distinguish on the basis of faith unlike the 2016 Bill.  
\n
- So the Bill is seen as a threat to the cultural and linguistic identity of the people of Assam.  
\n
- The Bill, if passed, would be challenged in the Supreme Court on the grounds of Article 14 and as a move to disturb the NRC process.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*  
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative