

CJI summoned to Calcutta High Court

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Calcutta High Court judge C.S Karnan summoned the Chief Justice of India and six judges of the Supreme Court to his 'residential court'.

 $n\n$

Why was the order given?

 $n\n$

۱n

• He made sweeping allegations, in a letter to the Prime Minister, against several judges.

\n

- \bullet So contempt proceedings were initiated against him by the Supreme Court for denigrating the judicial institution. \n
- He had appeared in person before a seven-judge and was given four weeks to respond to the charge of contempt of court.
- Meanwhile the current order by Karnan is in response to this contempt charge.
- He summoned the CJI and 6 judges to face punishment under the Scheduled Castes and Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

 $n\n$

What are the fallouts?

 $n\$

۱'n

• The judge has a long history of alleging corruption among other judges,

accusing some of caste discrimination against him, and often invoking his caste identity to take complaints against his peers.

\n

- It is quite apparent that he is only further damaging his own case.
- It is unacceptable affront to the apex court's authority.
- It flouts all norms of judicial conduct and thrown an open challenge to the Supreme Court.

۱n

- In 2016 Justice Karnan's gave an assurance to the Chief Justice of India that he would foster a "harmonious attitude towards one and all".
- \bullet At that time, he had expressed regret for passing a suo motu order staying his own transfer from the Madras High Court to the Calcutta High Court. \n
- Public criticism, transfer to another High Court, being hauled up for contempt and being denied judicial work — nothing seems to restrain him.
- Justice Karnan's case vividly exposes the inadequacies of the collegium system of appointments.
- \bullet This makes a better case for the infusion of greater transparency in the selection of judges. \n

\n\n

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

