

Code of Conduct for Members of Legislature

Why in news?

\n\n

Rajya Sabha Chairman has urged political parties to evolve a consensus on the code of conduct for members of legislatures.

\n\n

What is the present state of Code of Conducts?

\n\n

\n

- A Code of Conduct for members of Rajya Sabha has been in force since 2005. $\ngreen n$
- However, there is no such code for the Lok Sabha. $\nline{\label{eq:linear} n}$
- A code for Union ministers was adopted in 1964, and state governments were advised to adopt it as well.
 \n
- A conference of Chief Justices in 1999 resolved to adopt a code of conduct for judges of the Supreme Court and High Courts. \n
- The 15-point 'Re-instatement of Values in Judicial Life' was adopted. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- It recommended that serving judges should maintain an air of "aloofness" in their official and personal lives. \n

\n\n

What is the case with Rajya Sabha?

\n\n

\n

• The first step was the constitution of Parliamentary Standing Committees on Ethics in both Houses.

\n

- The Committee came into place in Rajya Sabha in 1997.
 - \n
- It was to oversee the moral and ethical conduct of the Members. $\space{1mm}\space{1m$
- It was also tasked to examine the cases referred to it with reference to ethical and other misconduct of Members. \n
- The First Report of the Ethics Committee was adopted in 1999 and its framework was reiterated in subsequent reports. \n
- The Fourth Report was adopted by Rajya Sabha in 2005. $\slash n$
- A 14-point Code of Conduct for members of the House has been in force since then.
 - \n
- These include the following: \n

\n\n

\n

1. In case of conflict between personal interests and public trust, members should resolve it, with private interests subordinated to the duty of public office.

\n

- 2. Members should ensure that their and members of their immediate family's private financial interests do not come in conflict with the public interest \n
- 3. In case of any such conflict, it must be resolved without compromising the public interest.

\n

4. Members should never expect or accept any fee, remuneration or benefit for a vote given or not given by them on the floor of the House. This would apply to

\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. introducing a Bill

\n

- ii. moving a resolution or desisting from moving a resolution \nphi^n
- iii. putting a question or abstaining from asking a question $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- $\operatorname{iv.}$ participating in the deliberations of the House or a Parliamentary

```
Committee
```

\n\n

\n

- Besides, the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Council of States specifies some provisions.
- It mandates maintaining a 'Register of Member's Interests' in such form as may be determined by the Ethics Committee.
- This shall be available to members for inspection on request. h
- This is also accessible to ordinary citizens under the RTI Act. h

\n\n

What is the Code in Lok Sabha?

\n\n

∖n

- The first Ethics Committee in Lok Sabha was constituted only in 2000. $\slash n$
- The issue has been raised in every Lok Sabha since then, but has not been taken to its conclusion.

\n

- The Report of the Ethics Committee was presented to the Speaker in 2014. \n
- It related to the amendments to the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha.

\n

• Its recommendations were included in the report of the Rules Committee of Lok Sabha.

∖n

• It said that the Ethics Committee shall formulate a Code of Conduct for Members.

\n

• Also, the committee shall suggest amendments or additions to the Code of Conduct from time to time.

\n

• The matter has since been pending with the Ethics Committee.

\n The

- The Rules Committee report also recommended that the Ethics Committee make suggestions on \n

\n\n

\n

- 1. the nature of Members' interests to be declared \nphi^n
- 2. the form of Register of Members' interest to be maintained for Members of Lok Sabha

\n

\n\n

∖n

- This matter, too, is under consideration of the Ethics Committee. \slashn

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

