



## Collectivization of Small Holder Farmers (SHF)

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Innovative thinking on smallholder farmer empowerment is needed in the context of the Indian government's push to double farm income by 2022.

\n

\n\n

### What are the problems faced by Small holder farmers?

\n\n

\n

- They are forced to face a cycle of low investment, poor productivity, low value addition, weak market orientation and low margins.

\n

- Decreasing landholdings due to fragmentation adds oil to burning fire.

\n

- Further a post-harvest value chain coupled with inefficiencies, causes post-harvest losses (PHL) to stack up throughout the value chain.

\n

- These stacking up or 'hoarding' causes inflationary effect on the economy and strikes a blow again upon the smallholder farmer as consumers.

\n

\n\n

\n

- As per latest estimates by the Associated Chambers of Commerce of India, India loses around Rs. 92,600 crore (\$14.3 billion) on account of PHL.

\n

\n\n

### What are the different Models of Collectivization of Famers?

\n\n

- \n
- There are two Small Holder Farmers collectivization models in India: farmer producer organizations (FPOs) and agricultural entrepreneurs (AEs).
- \n
- The concept behind Farmer Producer Organizations is that farmers, who are the producers of agricultural products, can form groups and register themselves under the Indian Companies Act.
- \n
- The FPO model creates value across different post-harvest phases, including harvesting, primary processing, storage, secondary processing, and market linkages.
- \n
- The aim is to enhance farmers' competitiveness and increase their advantage in emerging market opportunities.
- \n
- The Agricultural Entrepreneur model is predominantly buyer and intermediary driven, with a strong profit orientation.
- \n
- AEs are usually from the village and work independently or through contracts with companies that provide farmers inputs, equipment or procure produce from farmers.
- \n

\n\n

### **How can these models mitigate the problems faced by SHF?**

\n\n

- \n
- Efficient farmer collectivization confers
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- 1. greater bargaining power
- \n
- 2. better market and price discovery
- \n
- 3. access to credit and insurance and
- \n
- 4. sharing of assets and costs
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- It encourages private sector interest and builds the ability of farmers to

invest in storage, crop protection and value addition infrastructure.

\n

- It also provides better access to market linkages and information through partnerships which enables farmers to reduce demand/supply imbalances and Post Harvest Losses.

\n

- AEs link farmers with the market, minimizing damage to their produce before delivery to buyers.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the challenges associated with these models?**

\n\n

\n

- The FPO model faces challenges with respect to community mobilization, effective decision-making and governance, efficiency of promoting agency, and access to capital.

\n

- Constraints associated with the AE model include vastly different individual entrepreneur capabilities and lack of capital.

\n

\n\n

### **What can be done to strengthen them?**

\n\n

\n

- A multi-pronged approach encompassing a series of interventions can significantly strengthen the SHF collectivisation ecosystem in the long run.

\n

- **Technical support facility:** can help build transition FPOs from a production-oriented model to a more value-addition and agribusiness focussed model.

\n

- It will offer farmers access to a range of services, including training, sourcing of inputs, mechanisation, value addition, market information and linkages.

\n

- **Innovative capital infusion mechanisms :** Currently, direct equity investments not being permitted in FPOs limit their capital access and growth potential.

\n

- Potential alternative financing structures such as guarantee fund, results-based finance and insurance structures to facilitate capital infusion into FPOs need to be explored.  
\n
- **Diagnostics and credit rating mechanism** :Formal financial institutions are wary of lending to FPOs, largely due to the latter's inability to provide adequate collateral to cover the lender's risk.  
\n
- An FPO diagnostics and credit-rating mechanism can encourage financiers unlock credit flow.  
\n
- **Apex body for FPOs** : A self-regulatory body designed to protect the interest of FPOs and farmer members is needed.  
\n
- Example: Microfinance Institutions Network (MFIN) to regulate the businesses of member-MFIs in India.  
\n
- **Data analytics platform** :An FPO data analytics platform can capture the data virtually through mobile and computer interfaces, analyse them and provide decision support to FPOs and their promoters.  
\n

\n\n

## What is the way-forward?

\n\n

- According to the Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, the FPO has emerged as the most appropriate institutional model.  
\n
- Practitioners estimate that there are over 3,000 FPOs in India  
\n
- Strengthening FPOs would enhance the robustness of the AE model since vital complementary roles of AEs can be carved out as FPOs become successful.  
\n

\n\n

## Quick Facts

\n\n

## Smallholder farmers

\n\n

\n

- According to Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Small-holder farmers are defined as those marginal and sub-marginal farm households that own or/and cultivate less than 2.0 hectare of land.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Smallholder farmers (SHFs) represent 80 per cent of India's farming community.

\n

\n\n

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative