

# **Committee on Extra-judicial Killings in Assam**

### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The Gauhati High Court has recently quashed the appointment of a committee that had probed the alleged extra-judicial killings in Assam.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the case about?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- It relates to the extra-judicial killings in Assam during 1998-2001, often described as "secret killings".
- Close relatives of a number of United Liberation Front of Asom (ULFA) members were shot by unidentified killers.

۱n

• A committee headed by Justice K N Saikia was formed in 2005 to look into this.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## What were the Saikia panel findings?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The committee submitted its report in 2006-07.
- It blamed the Home Department and sections of the government machinery of being involved in the killings.
- The report alleged a nexus between police and certain surrendered ULFA members.

۱n

• It coined the term "ulfocide", and defined it as a general plan for killing of ULFAs, their families and relatives.

۱n

- It claimed that these were caused after ULFA families failed to persuade their ULFA relatives to come for peace talks.
  - \n
- It noted that the similarities in the killings indicate the remote planning from higher authorities.

\n

• Also, the weapons used were of a type generally used by police or the military.

\n

• Besides, there was police patrolling on the spot prior to and after the killings, but not during the time of the killings.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### Why was the committee quashed?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• Four successive panels probed the killings and the Justice Saikia headed committee was the last.

\n

 Notably, the earlier J N Sarma Commission probed six killings and submitted an interim report on three.

\n

• The Saikia committee was thus challenged on the ground that the previous panel was still active.

\n

- As, under Sec 7 of Commissions of Inquiry Act, a gazette notification for discontinuation of an inquiry commission is mandatory.
- But no such notification was issued for the Sarma Commission.
- The court has now held that the Saikia panel was legally invalid.

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the other concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Saikia Commission's report was said to be self-contradictory in parts.

\n

• This is because at one point it says that "there is no evidence to pinpoint responsibility".

\n

- But at another, it blames the then Home department of remotely orchestrating the killings.
  - \n
- The investigation was also alleged to be politically motivated.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## **Source: Indian Express**

\n

