

# **Concerns with NPS implementation**

### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

Though the NPS was a fiscally expensive solution, deviating from it imposes very large costs on the exchequer.

 $n\n$ 

## How does the pension policy evolve in India?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• The traditional civil servants pension was a defined benefit at about <u>half the</u> wage at retirement.

۱'n

• In the 1990s, there was an explosive trajectory of sharp growth in pension expenditures.

\n

- Particularly with the armed forces and the railways, pension payments were growing much faster than wage payments.
- Thus, the Ministry of Finance and the Asian Development Bank funded a household survey through which the number of civil servants and pensioners was estimated.

\n

- The survey estimated that the <u>implicit pension debt</u> was about 65% of GDP.
- The Ministry of Social Justice created Project OASIS in 1999.
- Under that, a National Pension System was created, which proposed a 10% wage hike to civil servants to ensure consistent contribution of pension amount from them.

۱'n

• All recruits of the government from January 1, 2004, were to be placed into the NPS.

\n

 However, it was only in 2013 that the law was passed, and the Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority became a statutory regulator of the service providers.

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  Unlike many pension reforms elsewhere in the world, there was no decline in pension payments to existing workers or pensioners. \n
- $\bullet$  This has made the NPS a <u>fiscally expensive</u> reform for the government.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- This is because, the government is paying contributions to both new workers (with a 10% wage hike) and pensions to those hired earlier.
- $\bullet$  Only, when employee hired prior to January 1, 2004 was dead, the government can avoid contributing to them and derive the fiscal benefits. \n
- Also, in the early days of NPS reform, the armed forces were always part of the plan.

\n

- The idea was that NPS implementation for armed forces would be done after the institutional structures were working for civil servants.
- However, this was not carried through and hence demands for "one rank one pension" were erupted later by the armed forces.
- $\bullet$  Upon its implementation, the revenue expenditure of the government has increased further and weakened its fiscal capacity.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- All these expenditures fall under the off-balance-sheet liabilities of the Indian state.

\n

 $n\n$ 

### What should be done to reduce off-balance sheet liabilities?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• A bond market with voluntary buyers, along with the Public Debt

Management Agency (PDMA), should be encouraged.

- $\bullet$  The PDMA will engage with buyers of bonds and will bring the bond market perspective into the policy process.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- This will reduce the concern of voluntary buyers of bonds regarding the fiscal stress of the economy in the long term.
- $\bullet$  In turn, it will increase the capital receipts of the government along with ensuring checks and balances on each of its policy decisions. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard** 

\n

