

Concerns with Powers of Chief Justice

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

In India there are controversies over the power of chief justice in constitution of benches.

 $n\n$

What are the powers of a Chief justice?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet SC -The judges of the Supreme Court and high courts are appointed by the president after consultation with the chief justice of India. \n
- The chief justice of High court is appointed by the president after consultation with the chief justice of India and the governor of the state concerned.

\n

- The consultation with the chief justice is obligatory in the case of appointment of a judge other than chief justice.
- \bullet Thus the advice tendered by the chief justice of India is binding on the president in the matters of appointment of the judges of the SC. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet HC For appointment of other judges the chief justice of the concerned high court is also consulted. $\mbox{\sc h}$

What are benches?

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

\n

• In the US Supreme Court, there will be nine judges and all sit together and decide all the matters.

۱n

• Likewise in India high courts and the SC discharge their function through the benches.

\n

- In India the members of the bench is usually appointed by the chief justice of the respective court, a chief justice can also present in the bench.
- The constitution of benches is an administrative matter and is a privilege of the chief justice as the administrative head of the court.
- Therefore in India Chief justice is the administrative head of the respective court, but not its judicial head.
- \bullet The justice delivered by CJ's benches and other benches have equal weight and are equally binding. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- \bullet Both in the high courts and the SC for deciding matters involving constitutional disputes, a bench of no less than five judges should be constituted and the said bench should consist the senior-most judges. \n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns in constituting the benches?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Power abuse** -The power to constitute the benches, also indirectly implies the power to shape justice and law.

\n

• Few incidents claims that the power of CJ to constitute benches is liable to be abused.

\n

- If a chief justice is so inclined, he may pack the benches by judges who will uphold a particular view.
- **Autocracy** -A chief justice of India (CJI) used to constitute benches to uphold his views expressed as a high court judge but overruled by the SC.
- Another CJI would instruct flexible colleagues to take particular views in the matter.

\n

• By this the exercise of any power which has far reaching consequences has

been left in the hands of one man, which has deleterious effects for democracy.

\n

 $n\n$

What measures needs to be taken?

 $n\n$

\n

- The power to constitute a bench must be shared with at least the next four senior-most judges, when political and sensitive cases are decided.
- Judges cannot be divided into senior and junior since all judges are equal, it must be understood that to sustain the confidence of the people in the judiciary, such a convention is warranted.
- \bullet Any judgment must appear to be the judgment of the court and not of one man or hand-picked men, which needs involvement of several judges. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Indian Express

\n

