

# **Constitutional Amendments for State's Ratification**

## Why in news?

The Law Ministry has recommended the Ram Nath Govind panel to examine if the constitutional amendments required to facilitate simultaneous elections would require ratification by the States.

## Ram Nath Govind Panel

- The Central government set up a panel headed by former President Ram Nath Kovind to explore the feasibility of the 'One Nation, One Election' (ONOE) plan.
- Simultaneous Elections refers to structuring the Indian election cycle by synchronizing the elections to Lok Sabha and State Assemblies.

### How the Constitution is amended?

Article 368 in Part XX of the Constitution deals with the powers of Parliament to amend the Constitution and its procedure.

- Article 368- It states that the Parliament may, in exercise of its constituent power, amend by way of addition, variation or repeal any provision of the Constitution in accordance with the procedure laid down for the purpose.
- **Limitation** In the <u>Kesavananda Bharati case (1973)</u>, the Supreme Court ruled that the Parliament cannotamend those provisions which form the <u>'basic structure'</u> of the Constitution.
- Procedures of Constitutional Amendments-

 It is outside the purview of Article 368. Simple · It is amended in the same way as normal majority legislations are passed For amending provisions not within the first Special · Majority of total membership of each House anď majority Majority of 2/3rd of the members of each House present and voting. It is related to the federal structure of the Special polity majority + Amendment-Special majority of Parliament States Half of the state legislatures consent by ratification simple majority

- **Simple majority** It does not require specific quorum.
- It is applicable to
  - **Article 4** It is related to changes in the organisation of states,
  - Article 169- It deals with abolition or creation of Legislative Councils in States,
  - **Schedule VI** Provisions for the administration of Tribal Areas in the States of Assam, Meghalaya, Tripura and Mizoram.
- **Special majority** The requirement is applicable only to the final voting stage.
- <u>Lok Sabha rules</u> prescribes adherence to special majority at all effective stages of the Bill.
- It is applicable to
  - Fundamental Rights
  - Directive Principles of State Policy
  - All other provisions which are not covered by the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> categories.
- **Special majority + States ratification** The moment half of the states give their consent, the formality is completed.
- There is *no time limit* within which the States should give their consent to the bill.
- **Revoking the ratification** There is <u>no specific mention</u> in the Constitution about the States revoking their ratification.

### States Ratification in the U.S.

- The U.S. Constitution <u>does not specify</u> any such time period. However, in <u>Dillon v.</u> <u>Gloss (1921)</u>, the U.S. Court held that State ratification must take place within 'reasonable time'.
- In the U.S., once a State has agreed to an amendment and communicated it to the Secretary of State, it <u>cannot revoke</u> its ratification. However, the US Court in <u>Chandler v. Wise (1939)</u> held that a State can ratify an amendment even if it has

- States Ratification was applied for
  - **99**<sup>th</sup> **Amendment Act** Established the *National Judicial Appointments Commission (NJAC)* for the appointment of Supreme Court judges
  - 101st Amendment Act 2016- Introduced GST regime
  - **Federal structure** Specifically listed in <u>Article 368(2)</u> and are commonly referred to as <u>'entrenched provisions'</u>

<b>Entrenched Provisions</b>	Article
Election to the President of India	Article 54 and 55
Extend of the executive power of the Union or State government	Article 73 and 162
Union judiciary	Article 124-147
High court	Article 214-231
Distribution of legislative powers between the Union and the states	Article 245 to 255
Any of the lists mentioned in 7 <sup>th</sup> schedule	Union list, State list, Concurrent list
Representation of states in the Parliament	Article 82
Goods and Services Tax Council	Article 279-A
Power of Parliament to amend the Constitution and its procedure	Article 368 itself

The Constituent Assembly debates show that Dr. B.R Ambedkar was in favour of ratification by States for amending certain constitutional provisions to ensure that the federal structure of the Constitution remains unaltered.

### Can a constitutional amendment be struck down for want of ratification?

- <u>Anti-Defection case</u>- In <u>Kihoto Hollohan v. Zachillu (1992)</u>, the constitutional validity of the 10<sup>th</sup> Schedule of the Constitution inserted by the 52<sup>nd</sup> Amendment Act, 1985 was challenged on the ground that the amendment was not ratified by the States.
- The Court upheld the validity of the Tenth Schedule but declared Paragraph 7 of the Schedule invalid for want of ratification.
- 97<sup>th</sup> amendment act, 2011- In *Union of India v. Rajendra N. Shah*, the Court held that the amendment required ratification by at least one-half of the State legislatures as per Article 368(2), since it dealt with an exclusive State subject under 7<sup>th</sup> Schedule.

 $52^{nd}$  Amendment Act, 1985 - Introduced anti-defection law in India which became the part of 10th Schedule of the Constitution.

**97**<sup>th</sup> **Amendment Act, 2011 -** Gave constitutional status to Cooperative Societies.

## Law Commission Report (2018) on Simultaneous Elections

- The Law Commission, chaired by *Justice B. S. Chauhan*, released a draft report stipulating that simultaneous elections are <u>not feasible</u> within the existing framework of the Constitution.
- It suggested that appropriate amendments have to be brought about in
  - The Constitution,
  - Representation of the People's Act 1951,
  - Rules of Procedure of Lok Sabha and State Assemblies
- It highlighted that a constitutional amendment to this effect must receive ratification from at least 50% of the States.
- **B.P. Jeevan Reddy Commission (1999)**, similarly advocated for holding Lok Sabha and Assembly elections together.
- Experts have cautioned that implementing the recommendations of the Law Commission is not possible without infringing upon the *federal structure* of the Constitution.

### Reference

1. The Hindu- States ratification in Constitutional Amendments

