

Creamy Layer in SC/ST Promotions

Click <u>here</u> to know more about the judgment on Reservation in Promotion.

 $n\n$

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

The Supreme Court's recent ruling, approving creamy layer concept to SC/ST promotions, has some concerns in terms of equality and career growth.

 $n\n$

What is the change made?

 $n\n$

\n

- The 2006 verdict on Nagaraj vs Union of India brought in a creamy layer filter for promotions for SC/ST employees.
- Also, the state had to collect 'quantifiable data on backwardness' of the SC/ST class if it wished to provide reservation in promotions.
- Correcting only the second anomaly, the court has now held that the government need not collect quantifiable data to demonstrate backwardness of SC/STs.

۱n

- But when it comes to <u>promotion</u> of SC/ST employees, the court held that the <u>creamy layer concept does apply</u>.
- \bullet So now, only in <u>direct recruitment</u> of the SC/STs, the <u>creamy layer concept does not apply.</u>
- However, the state governments have the discretion to invoke Articles 16 (4A) and 16 (4B).

\n

- This is to provide for reservations in promotions for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes with consequential seniority.
- "Consequential seniority" refers to promotions made purely on reservation basis despite another person waiting for promotion being actually senior to him/her.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Against Precedence** - It has been clearly stated in Indra Sawhney (1992) case, that any discussion on creamy layer "has no relevance" in the context of SC/STs.

\n

- **Representation** Going by the creamy layer ceiling of Rs 8 lakh per annum, even "Group D" functionaries will come under the definition of creamy layer.
- \bullet But notably, the SC/STs lack representation mainly at the Group A level which do not have direct recruitment provisions. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Promotions are the way through which members of SC/ST communities make it to this level.

\n

 So the consequence of the judgement will be that promotions will stop even at the Group D and Group C levels.

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet **Protection** The SC/STs are given job reservations not because they are poor but because they are excluded. \n
- \bullet The Constitution made the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes as a separate category of subjects to protect them from caste aggression. $\$
- It was also to help them gain the strength they need to withstand it and to grow autonomously.
- The first part of Article 335 stipulates job reservations for SC/STs as a <u>right</u> of representation, not as a welfare measure.

• However, the creamy layer among SC/ST employees helps fulfil the second part of Article 335 that requires maintaining the "efficiency of administration".

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- So the recent judgement largely undoes the affirmative action of ensuring equality of opportunity.
- **Right to opt out** The court also failed to address this, as at present, an SC/ST candidate does not have the right to reject reservations.
- It is also a punishable offence to withhold one's caste status while seeking government employment.
- But allowing SC/ST candidates to compete in the general category would help thousands to leave the space for the less privileged among them.
- Also, by competing as non-reserved candidates, the well-qualified SC/ST group would corner a substantial number of open posts.
- \bullet So theoretically, SC/STs would end up garnering more posts than at present.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu, Scroll

\n

