



Criticality of FDI in Manufacturing

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- While the FDI flows in the manufacturing sector has already been lacklustre, it is further slowing down now.

\n

- This is a cause of concern if India needs scale-up its economy and create more jobs for its people.

\n

\n\n

What are the status of Foreign direct investment (FDI) in India?

\n\n

\n

- **Policy** - FDI limits were eased across sectors in mid-2016 to allow foreign firms to own 49% in a venture through the direct route.

\n

- Notably, an even greater stake was allowed if the investment venture had access to state-of-the-art technology.

\n

- However, most of the partnerships that are currently in the pipeline are in the nature of technical collaborations - with little in terms of FDI.

\n

- **Stats** - Flows into the industrial and manufacturing space have picked up pace in lately, and was estimated to be \$17 billion in 2016-17 (double of 2011 level).

\n

- But despite this, the amount netted by the manufacturing sector was still far less than the capital flow into the service sector (which continues to dominate).

\n

- Notably, sectors like FMCG (where regulations are relatively less important)

has netted a fair bit of the FDI into well established companies.

\n

- But more foreign capital is needed in sectors like defence to boost our technical expertise and bring jobs to the skilled and unskilled workers.

\n

- Insufficient infrastructure, rigid labour laws and an unstable regulatory environment are the probable reasons that hinder manufacturing FDI flows.

\n

\n\n

Why is FDI critical for the country?

\n\n

\n

- If India is to really scale up its GDP growth and ensure jobs-creation, it is necessary to grow its manufacturing sector.

\n

- But capital available with local industrialists is limited, which makes it critical for India to attract more FDI in manufacturing.

\n

- In this backdrop, multiple sectors have been slacking in attracting the needed FDI to help boost the economy and ensure jobs and progress.

\n

- **Defence** - Events like our recent DefExpo generating considerable interests among major defence players around the world.

\n

- But despite this, there hasn't been much in terms of FDI flows into the sector.

\n

- This poor state of affairs is indeed partly due to the lack of sufficient orders from the government, which is by default the major defence buyer.

\n

- **Pharmacy** - It is also unfortunate that sectors such as pharmaceuticals aren't attracting the global players despite India's large pool of science graduates.

\n

- Pharma sector had seen a decline in FDI flow and pulled in less than \$1 billion each in FY16 and FY17, lower than the \$1.5 billion in FY15.

\n

- **Automobiles** - In the automobiles sector too, just \$1.6 billion came through in FY17 compared with \$2.6 billion in FY16.

\n

- This is despite India's abundantly cheap labor and a big home market.

\n

\n\n

What role does FDI play in the Balance of Payment (BoP)?

\n\n

- \n
 - FDI is also an important factor in the “Balance of Payments” (BoP) equation that is critical to ensure the stability of the rupee.
- \n
 - Also, of the total capital inflow of \$240 billion in the 3 previous financial years, FDI along accounted for 55% - (rest came from debt and portfolio equity).
- \n
 - This is a big reversal over the trend in the previous 10 years when FDI accounted for less than 30% of the inflows.
- \n
 - However, in the December quarter, FDI flows weakened though economists believe it could be a error.
- \n
 - Nevertheless, with the price of crude oil now nudging \$70, there is a real chance the basic BoP becoming negative in the FY19.
- \n

\n\n

Quick Facts:

\n\n

Basics:

\n\n

- \n
 - FY - is the abbreviation for “Financial Year” (April 1st - March 31st)
- \n

\n\n

- \n
 - BoP = “Net FDI Inflow” minus “Current Account Deficit”
- \n

\n\n

Current Account Defecit (CAD):

\n\n

\n

- **CAD** = “Current Account Outflow” minus “Current Account Inflow”
\
- **Current Account Outflow** = “Outward remittances by foreign nationals in India” + “Profit Repatriation by Foreign Firms in India” + “Cost of Imports”
\
- **Capital Account Inflows** = “Inward remittances by NRIs from abroad” + “Repatriated Profits of Indian Firms Abroad” + “Cost of Exports”.
\

\

\

Source: Financial Express

\

\



IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering
A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative