

## **Delaying Naga Peace Accord**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• A Framework Agreement was signed in 2015 to end the decades-old Naga insurgency.

۱n

 $\bullet$  However, the Naga peace process (accord) is yet to be finalised.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$ 

 $n\n$ 

### What is the Nagaland issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Few sections of the Naga people are particular of forming a separate new country.

۱n

• This 'Greater Nagalim' incorporates the entire state of present Nagaland and some Naga-inhabited parts.

\n

- These include parts of Manipur, Assam, Arunachal Pradesh and Myanmar.
- While the area of Nagaland is around 16,500 sq km, Greater Nagalim sprawls over 1,20,000 sq km.
- The demand for Nagalim has always agitated Assam, Manipur and Arunachal.

۱n

- Peace talks with Nationalist Socialist Council of Nagaland (Isak-Muivah) [NSCN(I-M)], the then most lethal insurgent group started in 1997.
- In 1997, the insurgent group leaders agreed to a ceasefire.



 $n\$ 

### What is the 2015 agreement?

 $n\$ 

\n

- The Framework Agreement was a culmination of so many rounds of negotiations over the years.
- It was signed by the Centre's interlocutor for Naga peace talks, RN Ravi and leader of the NSCN (I-M).

\n

- $\bullet$  It aimed at facilitating stronger ties among Nagas across the region.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- However, it does not change substantially the jurisdictional and administrative authority of neighbouring states.
- The framework agreement did accept special status for the Nagas.
- $\bullet$  This gave due regard to the uniqueness of Naga history.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{\sc Na}}}$

 $n\n$ 

# How has the progress been?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Centre's interlocutor recently made a submission before a Parliamentary Standing Committee.

\n

- He noted that it was implied in the agreement that "some special arrangement" would be made for the Nagas.
- With respect to Nagaland there is already a special arrangement.
- Article 371A of the Constitution makes this very clear and a special status has been accorded to them.

\n

- $\bullet$  The Nagas initially insisted on the unification of Naga inhabited areas "no integration, no solution".
- But they have now reached an understanding with the government.
- Accordingly, the current boundaries of Northeastern states will not be touched.

\n

 Besides the NSCN-IM, the government also held discussions with six other groups.

\n

• The NSCN-K, which violated the ceasefire in 2015, was not part of the peace process.

\n

• It was declared an unlawful association under The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## Why is the delay in finalising the accord?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- All stakeholders other than the government appear keen on a conclusion.
- Many details of the 2015 agreement are concealed in mystery.
- The Naga groups have given up the demand for sovereignty and redrawing of boundaries.

\n

• But the issues like a separate passport, flag and armed Naga battallions are still unresolved.

\n

 $n\n$ 

## **Source: Indian Express**

\n

