

# **Demands for Creamy Layer in SC/STs**

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Supreme Court hears a petition to exclude the well offs among SCs and STs from reservation benefits.

\n\n

## What are the concerns?

\n\n

∖n

- There are concerns that the rich among the SC/STs were benefiting from the reservation policies.
- \n
  On the other hand, the intended deserving and impoverished population continue to be excluded.

\n

- There is lack of percolation of benefits to nearly 95% members of these communities at the bottom, defeating the whole purpose.  $\n$
- This is perpetuating the problem of rich-poor divide.  $\slashn$
- $\bullet$  It is also becoming a cause of social unrest, especially the Naxalite movements and the perennial problem of poverty.  $\n$

\n\n

## What is the demand?

\n\n

\n

• The petition argues that no class or caste remained homogeneously backward across time.

∖n

• Only the bottom sections of the caste continue to reel under disadvantageous condition.

\n

- It thus calls for excluding the creamy layer of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes from reservation benefits.  $\n$ 

\n\n

## Is it legally valid?

\n\n

\n

- In 1992, a 9-judge Bench of the Supreme Court in the Indra Sawhney case (or the Mandal case) gave a verdict in this regard.  $\n$
- It upheld the caste-based reservation for the OBCs as valid.  $\n$
- The court also said the creamy layer of the OBCs (those earning a specified income) should not get the benefits of reservation.  $\n$
- However, it applied only to the OBCs and not the SC/STs.  $\slash n$
- The current petition refers to a Constitution Bench's judgment in 2006.  $\slash n$
- The judgement made value of assets of an individual claiming reservation as a criteria for deciding the creamy layer.
- It is now for the court to decide on the issue of skewed benefits of measures intended for rooting out social and economic backwardness.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

## Source: The Hindu

\n\n

\n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative