



## Doubling Farmer Income by 2022

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The government has released a seven-point plan to double farmer income by 2022.
- The package has some glaring deficiencies.

\n

\n\n

### What are the flaws?

\n\n

\n

- **No New Ideas** - The plan is little more than a mere repackaging of the ongoing agricultural development schemes.
- **Productivity** - It is focused more on raising farm productivity than on improving the profitability of farming.
- This disregards the fact that higher farm output does not necessarily lead to higher income.
- Bumper harvests have in fact often caused a slide in prices.
- **Marginal Farming** - Income from increasing output can at best be only marginal because land holdings of most farmers are too small.
- Thereby, they can't produce sizeable marketable surpluses.
- **Growth Rate** - Doubling of income by 2022, from current levels would require an estimated income growth of over 10% annually.

\n

- Such acceleration is hard to come by through the productivity route alone.

\n

\n\n

## What are priority areas?

\n\n

\n

- More emphasis on various lucrative farm and not-farm economic activities that find a passing mention in the plan is needed.

\n

- These can include, among others, high-value farming involving horticulture, floriculture, herbal farming and farm forestry.

\n

- Allied activities, including novel ventures such as rabbit and quail rearing can also be promoted.

\n

- Waste reduction and value-addition of farm produce with effective market support could help enhance incomes.

\n

- Also, the creation of off-farm employment in rural sectors needs to be established.

\n

\n\n

## What are the policy changes required?

\n\n

\n

- A stable policy regime governing agricultural pricing and trade needs to be established.

\n

- **Trading** - The current pricing policies seem to have a pro-consumer bias, dictated chiefly by the need to keep inflation down.

\n

- This spurs uncalled for interventions such as frequent opening and shutting of imports and exports of farm goods and imposition of stockholding and other curbs on trade.

\n

- This needs to change to ensure a balance of produce and consumer interests.

\n

- **Prices** - As minimum support price (MSP) mechanism has failed to show results, it needs to be supplemented with other measures.

\n

- One such is the price deficit reimbursement scheme of Madhya Pradesh that seeks to compensate farmers for any shortfall in realising the MSP.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n



**IAS PARLIAMENT**

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative