

Ending Separation of Immigrant Families - US

Why in news?

\n\n

Trump has signed an executive order to end separation of immigrant families on the US-Mexico border.

\n\n

What was the separation policy?

\n\n

\n

- This was part of Trump administration's "zero-tolerance" approach to dealing with undocumented migrants.
- It involves separating children from undocumented migrant parents.

\n

\n\n

What is the legal backing for this?

\n\n

∖n

 $\ensuremath{\bullet}$ There is no single U.S. law requiring families to be separated.

∖n

- But there are loopholes in legislations leaving way for two legal provisions: \n

\n\n

\n

 ${\rm i.}\,$ a law against "improper entry by aliens" at the border

\n

ii. a decree known as the Flores settlement

\n

\n

• The first law makes it <u>impossible</u> to suddenly <u>deport</u> certain vulnerable categories of migrants.

\n

- These include families, asylum-seekers and unaccompanied minors. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- To overcome this, Bush and Obama administrations adopted the <u>policy of</u> <u>"catch and release</u>".

∖n

- Under this, migrants would be released from custody pending their deportation case adjudication. \n
- \bullet Family separation was unnecessary at that time. $\nline{\label{eq:linear} n}$
- But under the <u>zero-tolerance approach</u>, all undocumented migrants are charged in criminal courts. \n
- Here, the <u>Flores settlement</u> applies. n
- This is because it limits to 20 days the length of time migrant children may be held in immigration detention. \n

\n\n

Why is the policy reversed now?

\n\n

\n

• While their parents face charges, the children are transferred to a different location.

\n

- Separation leads to devastating consequences for the families. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- They remain in the custody of Customs and Border Protection personnel. \n
- Recently, disturbing images and videos of struggling children have emerged. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- In particular, there was a disturbing audio allegedly of crying children at one such unit.
 - \n Thor
- \bullet There was widespread protest about the ethics of using children to discourage further undocumented border crossings. \n

What is the present order?

\n\n

\n

- The order does not end the "zero tolerance" policy.
 - \n
- It still calls for criminal prosecution of immigrants crossing the border illegally.

\n

- However, now the illegal immigrant families would be detained together. $\slash n$
- It also moves parents with children to the front of the line for immigration proceedings.

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- There are mixed reactions to the executive order, with significant concerns being highlighted.
 - \n
- The President's Executive Order seeks to replace one form of child abuse with another.

\n

- It may pave the way for the long-term incarceration of families in prison-like conditions.
 - ∖n
- Instead of protecting traumatised children, it may place them in more disturbing conditions.

\n

- It may indeed be a continuation of violation of children's human rights. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative