Ending the Doklam Standoff

Why in news?

 $n\n$

After weeks of diplomatic negotiations, India and China agreed to disengage from the standoff on the Doklam plateau.

 $n\n$

What is the recent development?

 $n\n$

\n

- After more than 2 months of negotiations, the Indian troops withdrew back from Doklam to their posts in Sikkim as a goodwill gesture as China promised to make adjustments.
- Government sources have said that the process of disengagement had been almost completed and also verified by both sides.
- This restores status quo ante at Doklam.
- China had put off any plans to further construct the road in the disputerd area for the present.
- However, Chinese officials maintained that its border troops will continue to patrol the Doklam area and asserted its soverinity over it, therby not recognising it as a disputed territory.

 $n\n$

What is the significance of the dis-engagement?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The statements issued by both the sides were inconsistent with each other.
- It shows that both sides seem to have agreed to disagree, though not ideal, is a good sign.

\n

 Both sides have recognized that peace at border areas is an essential prerequisite for development and vouched for diplomacy and have arrived at a rational compromise.

\n

• The agreement came a week before PM's visit to China to attend the BRICS summit. This shows that the bilateral spirt will to develop beyond the summit.

\n

 $n\n$

What should to be done in the future?

 $n\n$

\n

 Diplomats must now repair the rupture in ties over the past few months that began with the cancellation of the Nathu La route for Kailash-Mansarovar pilgrims.

\n

 Presently, it appears that China no longer recognises the gains made in the Special Representative talks in 2012 or the disputed nature of the Doklam trijunction.

\n

• India, on the contrary has made it clear that it does not consider the Sikkim boundary settled.

\n

- Hence, both sides will have to walk swiftly on these basic issues.
- Both must revert to the spirit of the Border Defence Cooperation Agreement of 2013, which laid down specific guidelines on tackling future developments along the 3,488-km boundary they share.

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

