

Ending the 'Great Game' in Afghanistan

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- A recent IS attack in Kabul and Taliban's new announcement have signalled an increased security challenge for Afghanistan.
- At this juncture, global and regional powers must desist from playing the 'Great Game' and build peace.
 \n

\n\n

What is the recent IS attack?

\n\n

\n

• A bomb attack took place in Kabul at a voter registration centre, killing more than 50.

\n

- It carries a doubly dangerous message from the Islamic State. $\slamic{\n}$
- One, it was the group's attack on Afghanistan's fragile democratic process. \n
- It implies that elections next year could face more violence. $\slash n$
- Two, a majority of the victims were Shias. $\nline n$
- This highlights the sectarian turn in the conflict. \slashn

\n\n

What is the Taliban threat?

\n\n

∖n

• Taliban has rejected Afghan President Ghani's offer of talks "without preconditions".

\n

- Moreover, Taliban has announced its new "spring offensive". $\slash n$
- It includes the targeting of American forces in Afghanistan. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$

\n\n

Have the earlier efforts paid results?

\n\n

\n

• Last year, the U.S. announced a new 'South Asia policy' for Afghanistan. Click <u>here</u> to know more.

\n

- It was officially welcomed by both New Delhi and Kabul. \nphin
- It was hailed as a game-changer for the region. $\slash n$
- But now the policy itself seems uncertain. $\slash n$
- The U.S. administration has taken some steps on Pakistani funding of terrorism.
- This is particularly across the Durand Line (border between Pakistan and Afghanistan).

\n

- However, it has clearly not yielded calm on the ground. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- Continuous wave of terrorist violence has lashed Kabul and other cities.
- The Afghan forces control just a little over half the territory today. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- It is down from nearly three-fourths in 2015. \nphin
- The U.S. policies guiding Afghanistan are not making much headway. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- This is the same with Kabul's efforts to protect its own people. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$

\n\n

What is the concern with the approach?

\n\n

\n

- Growing U.S.-Russia tensions are creating space for proxies for both on Afghan soil.
 - \n
- The attacks by al-Qaeda and IS-related terror groups have their roots in the larger war between Iran and the Arab world. \n
- Tensions between India and Pakistan cast a shadow over Afghanistan. \n
- China, to secure itself from Islamist groups, is trying to build a rival military base in Afghanistan.
 - \n
- Clearly, countries are motivated not only by peace in Afghanistan but by other interests too. \n
- Efforts have been made for bilateral and multilateral peace talks in recent months.

\n

• But each one has amounted to too piecemeal an effort. \n

\n\n

What could possibly be done?

\n\n

\n

• There is a need to stop the 'Great Game' by countries for influence in Afghanistan.

\n

• It is necessary for both US and Afghanistan to take a more hard-headed, realistic view.

\n

- It must be acknowledged that the current situation is a danger to all. \n
- Defeating terrorism in Afghanistan needs every stakeholder to put aside the differences and build peace. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

∖n





A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative