

Environmental Performance Index, 2018

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• India ranks 177 among 180 countries in the Environmental Performance Index 2018.

۱n

• A drop in the index from previous year calls for a relook at the country's environmental policy.

١

 $n\n$

What is the Environmental Performance Index?

 $n\$

\n

• EPI is a biennial report by Yale and Columbia Universities along with the World Economic Forum.

\n

• The report ranks 180 countries on 24 performance indicators.

• It is spread across 10 categories covering environmental health and ecosystem vitality.

\n

• Switzerland leads the world in sustainability, followed by France, Denmark, Malta and Sweden in the recent EPI.

\n

 $n\n$

What is India's status?

 $n\n$

۱n

• India is among the bottom 5 countries on the index, at 177th place.

\n

- This is a drop of 36 points from 141 in 2016.
- Emerging peer economies, Brazil and China, ranks 69 and 120, respectively.
- \bullet In the environmental health category, India is at the bottom of the list. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\upshape \ensuremath{\upshape \ensuremath}\ensuremath}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$
- And in terms of air quality it is placed third last.
- The overall drop is attributed to poor performance in the environment health policy and high pollution related deaths.
- Pollution from solid fuels, coal and crop residue burning, and emissions from motor vehicles are the major causes.
- Population growth, industrial production and automotive transportation continue to increasingly degrade the air quality.

 $n\$

Why is environmental degradation a serious concern?

 $n\n$

\n

 Environmental degradation is beyond being seen as just a cost of development.

\n

\n

- \bullet Health The report highlights air quality as the leading environmental threat to public health.
- \bullet In India, deaths attributed to ultra-fine PM2.5 pollutants have largely risen over the past decade. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet Air pollution is causing an estimated 1.4 million premature deaths in India.
- **Economy** The above rate translates to a welfare loss equivalent to around 8% of India's GDP in 2013.
- In addition, the cost of lost labour productivity was nearly 0.8% of GDP.
- \bullet Even these estimates do not reflect a true picture of the impact. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- \bullet This is because the lack of scientific understanding of several other key ecological impacts limits the impact assessment. $\mbox{\sc h}$

• Sadly, the poor are affected disproportionately because of environmental degradation.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the measures in this regard?

 $n\n$

\n

• The government has set some ambitious targets for environmental protection in the recent years.

\n

• It includes:

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- ii. target to implement Bharat Stage VI emission norms from April 1, 2020
- iii. efforts to produce and sell electric vehicles in the country by 2030 n
- $\ensuremath{\mathrm{iv}}.$ revision of National Solar Mission, accelerating transition to renewable sources of power

\n

v. accordingly, revising the target for setting up solar capacity from 20 GW to $100 \ \text{GW}$ by 2021-22

\n

vi. assurance to clean up highly polluted Ganga by 2018 \n

 $n\n$

What are the shortfalls?

 $n\n$

\n

• Unfortunately, there appears to be a big gap between policy goals and action.

\n

• India seems to be moving in the right direction on solar targets.

• However, balancing it with other goals is seriously lacking.

• Evidently, the promise of implementing strict power plant emission norms by December 2017 has been relaxed.

\n

 \bullet Annual electronic waste collection target for electronics manufacturers was set at 30% of the products sold in the market.

\n

• However, this has now been relaxed to 10%.

\n

• The automobile industry has stated that full conversion to electric vehicles was realistically possible only by 2047.

\n

• This is in contrast to the government's targets.

\n

• CAG, in a recent report, highlighted the lag in developing an action plan and poor fund utilisation in the clean-up of the Ganga.

 $n\n$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

• The environmental costs of development should be duly recognised in addressing environmental problems.

۱n

 Transition to renewables, especially solar energy, should be accelerated by offering subsidies.

\n

• On the other hand, the more polluting fuels should be priced higher.

• Strict environmental standards for coal plants should be put in place.

• Similarly, the transition to electric vehicle use should be aided by higher pricing of petrol and diesel.

\n

• Existing environmental laws and regulations should be implemented properly with more political will.

\n

 \bullet The country's poor environmental performance calls for taking forward the environmental targets more seriously. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

