Ethics behind Sting operations

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

A Kerala minister's exit after a sting operation spurs a debate on privacy v/s public interest.

 $n\n$

What is the operation about?

 $n\$

\n

- A Malayalam television channel had broadcasted an audio recording allegedly of the then Transport Minister of Kerala purportedly seeking sexual favours from a woman who had come to him for assistance.
- \bullet The women side of the conversation was not put out. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Later it was found that the woman journalist with the channel had conducted the sting, suggesting this was in reality a kind of honey trap. \n
- The CEO of the channel went on air to render an apology, presumably for misrepresenting matters.
- The CEO and eight other employees from the channel have been booked under sections of the Information Technology Act and the Indian Penal Code.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the ethics behind sting operation?

 $n\n$

• The ethics of sting operations is among the most fiercely debated issues in journalism.

۱n

- Some generally agreed guidelines are -
- Any such operation that uses false pretences, with its necessary violation of the interviewee's trust and privacy, must serve a larger public interest that far outweighs such violation.
- It also must be used as a last resort, when there is no other means of acquiring the information sought.
- \bullet It must be the outcome of considerable editorial deliberation.
- They should never be intended to entrap or induce people into committing wrongdoing or embarrassing them badly.
- It is imperative that publications and broadcasters explain vital public interest for conducting them.
- A sting cannot be an excuse to grab eyeballs with immodest and essentially private content, or a shortcut to make a point merely by shocking the reader or viewer.
- \bullet Doing so risks eroding that goodwill and leaving journalists facing harsh charges. $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

