

# **EU Copyright Directive**

## Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The European Parliament recently approved a new copyright legislation, increasing the responsibilities of technology platforms and the rights of content producers.

 $n\$ 

### What is the legislation on?

 $n\$ 

\n

- It is commonly known as the EU Copyright Directive, or the Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market.
- $\bullet$  It aims at balancing profits for the creators and profits for platforms that make the content publicly available. \n
- These platforms are online service providers that organise, promote, or categorise copyright-protected content uploaded by users.
- These include Google, Facebook, Wikipedia, Reddit and YouTube.

 $n\n$ 

# What are the key proposals?

 $n\n$ 

\n

 Article 11 allows publishers to gain copyright protection on their content that is being used by online content providers.

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The law makes it necessary for online content providers to get authorisation from the content creators.

\n

- $\bullet$  Without this, the provider will have to prevent availability of that content.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\sc h}}}$
- This would give publishers the extended rights over online use of their content.

\n

• But, it still allows for

 $n\n$ 

\n

i. the legitimate private and non-commercial use of press publications by individual users

۱n

 $_{\text{ii.}}$  mere hyperlinks which are accompanied by individual words  $_{\text{in}}$ 

 $n\n$ 

۱n

- Article 13 makes online content platforms liable to take "effective and proportionate measures" on copyright violations.
- It mandates them to remove violations expeditiously and demonstrate efforts to prevent future availability.

\n

- $\bullet$  This shifts the burden from the copyright holder to the platforms.
- Another key provision is the exemption to scientific researchers using text and data mining technologies, and educational purposes.
- The law also gives small enterprises more leeway in removal of unauthorised content.

\n

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Platforms such as Facebook and Google make a lot of money from content that is made by others.

\n

• However, technology platforms, academics, industry pioneers, and rights organisations are concerned of threats to freedom of expression and to "open online sharing".

\n

- Specifically, the "upload filter" of Article 13 could encourage companies to deploy algorithms that play it safe and over-restrict content.
- $\bullet$  The resulting "censorship machines" will not be able to differentiate parodies, satire, and memes.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- This could turn the internet into a place where everything uploaded must be cleared by lawyers before it can find an audience.

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the similar measures elsewhere?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- In 2013, a German law allowed publishers to stop search engines from using their news content beyond the headlines.
- The law was later diluted to allow for snippets of the content.
- $\bullet$  In 2014, Spain passed a similar law giving publishers the right to levy licensing fees on online content aggregators.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- $\bullet$  In response, Google News closed operations in Spain and removed Spanish media outlets from the platform.  $\mbox{\sc N}$
- Both these laws have been dubbed the "Google tax".
- $\bullet$  YouTube's "Content ID" system deploys filtering against copyright violations.  $\mbox{\sc 'n}$
- However, Google marks a distinction between \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

- i. proactive monitoring of content uploads
- ii. Content ID's mandate to simply react to infringement notifications in accordance with US Laws

\n

\n

• The US Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998 exempts online service providers from manually screening or automatically filtering out copyright infringement.

\n

• This places the burden on copyright holders to request the removal of violations.

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

 $\bullet$  In India, the Information Technology Act of 2000 clears online-platform liability if the company can prove that

\n

 $n\n$ 

\n

 $i.\ there \ was \ no \ knowledge \ of \ the \ infringement$ 

\n

ii. due diligence was taken to prevent the violation  $\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### What next?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The European internet-related legislation has consistently ruled far more strongly against Internet companies than the US.
  - \n
- $\bullet$  This significantly includes the recently implemented General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

\n

• Now, before it becomes law, the EU Copyright Directive will go through "trilogue negotiations" until early 2019.

\n

- This would be among the European Commission, the Council of the European Union and the European Parliament.
- Views from all stakeholders will be directed to Members of the European Parliament.

\n

• After that, the Directive will need to go through the 27 member states.

 $n\n$ 

 $n\$ 

## **Source: Indian Express**

\n

