

Excess Supply of Pulses

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

- The country witnesses an excess supply of pulses due to higher production. $\slash n$
- The Centre must appropriately focus on demand side management and procurement, to deal with this. \n

\n\n

How is the pulses market?

\n\n

∖n

- Two successively large harvests, large inventories and weak offtake in the market have resulted in excess pulses supply.
- This has naturally kept the pulses prices low.
- Government's policy interventions have had little impact on farm-gate prices. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Without exception, prices of all major pulses are well below the specified minimum support price.
 \n
- Restrictions imposed on imports have failed to exert any meaningful impact on the domestic market.

\n

• These include the quantitative ceiling and customs duties.

\n

- Pulse growers continue to suffer low prices for the second year in a row. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- This is sure to impact planting intentions for the upcoming kharif crop. $\slash n$

How to deal with it?

\n\n

∖n

- **Demand** 'Self-sufficiency' in pulses could be advantageous only if the demand side is dealt appropriately.
 - \n
- Gains of the last two years have to be responded with demand side management.

\n

• But policy-makers have made a series of interventions to simply control supplies.

∖n

• This was done in the hope that prices would rise closer to MSP, but this has not worked.

\n

- Boost consumption India suffers pervasive under-nutrition and serious protein deficiency among large sections.
- Excess protein-rich pulse production could be utilised to boost its consumption.

\n

- Legume could be included in the Public Distribution System or under National Food Security Act.
- Supply of even one kilogram of pulses per family per month will go a long way in advancing nutrition security.
- Centre's role Relying on State governments' choice to advance nutrition security is less likely to result in tangible outcomes. \n
- Calorie and protein security should go together. $\slash n$
- This responsibility must largely be assumed by the Centre. h
- Burdensome inventory with various stakeholders (growers, government, traders) must be reduced. \n
- Government agencies themselves are reportedly holding well over a million tonnes of pulses incurring huge carrying costs.
- These need to be liquidated.

∖n

• **Procurement** - There is lack of political will to address the pulses crisis comprehensively.

\n

• Given the present concerns, procurement of pulses deserves to be strengthened.

\n

• Handling a few million tonnes of pulses should not be a formidable challenge.

\n

• There is a risk that pulses planted acreage and production may decline in the upcoming kharif season.

∖n

- Growers are likely to shift from pulses to more remunerative crops. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Appropriate demand-side management and procurement policies would only prevent this. γn

1

\n\n

\n\n

Source: BusinessLine

∖n

