

## **Expanding Income support schemes**

## What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

Despite challenges, agricultural investment support scheme could be implemented as an alternate for the farm loan waiver at an all-India level.

 $n\n$ 

## What is the income support model of Telangana?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- Telangana's Rythu Bandhu Scheme (RBS) has a grant component of Rs 4,000 per acre per farmer for one season (kharif/rabi).
- In a year, an eligible farmer will receive Rs 8,000 of grant for crop production that includes purchasing of critical inputs, irrigation and hiring of farm labour.

۱'n

• The scheme covers 1.42 crore acres in the 31 districts of the state, and <u>every farmer owning land is eligible</u>.

\n

- The objective is to help the farmer meet a major part of his expenses on seed, fertiliser, pesticide, and field preparation. (farm inputs)
- The Telangana government has allocated about Rs 120 billion to target 5.83 million farmers under RBS in 2018-19.
- The fiscal burden of the scheme, if implemented by the Centre at an all-India level, will cost around Rs 600-700 billion per year.

 $n\n$ 

What are the challenges in rolling out at an all-India level?

\n

• **Identification** - Identification of the right beneficiary could be a daunting task to roll out the scheme, as 90-110 million smallholder farmers in India have joint land records.

\n

- Also, these smallholder farmers are <u>yet to digitise their land records</u> on a centralised land revenue management information system.
- So, land record modernisation across India, especially in eastern and northeast regions, entails a huge spending on account of state governments.
- **Monitoring** Since <u>agriculture is a state subject</u>, implementing states for RBS need to come out with an execution plan.  $\n$
- Checks and balances should plug the loopholes on whether the beneficiaries actually use the grant amount for cultivation or divert the funds to other activities.

\n

• Although RBS is viewed as quasi-universal basic income (QUBI) support to farmers, the <u>grant support</u> provided is specifically targeted for <u>investment support</u> in agriculture.

\n

- Thus, apart from supporting farm inputs, the government still needs to ensure reasonable market price for those produce or else the produce should be covered under the price support scheme.
- **Fiscal Burden** Cost-sharing between the Centre and states should be in place before rolling out RBS.
- It is estimated that the cost to cover only small and marginal farmers would be to the tune of 1.4 trillion per year based on net sown area in India.  $\n$
- $\bullet$  The average cost of production and cropping intensity across the implementing states will give a clearer picture of budget estimate for RBS.  $\$
- **Coverage** Since the scheme covers only farmers who have land records, it leaves out tenant cultivators, who grow crops on land they don't own and is taken on lease.

\n

• Therefore, there should be some alternative mechanism to cover tenant farmers across the country.

## What should be done?

 $n\n$ 

۱n

• The NITI Aayog needs to execute RBS effectively, wherein it needs to devise a mechanism on how can RBS tie-up the existing market infrastructures and eventually function in unison.

\n

- Measures like eNAM, NABARD promoted grant-cum-loan based agribusiness, electronic procurement system and Direct Benefits Transfer, revamped crop and weather insurance schemes can be linked with RBS.
- Also, <u>farmer producer companies</u> (FPCs) can be an effective channel of implementing RBS.

\n

• The objective of FPC is to organize farmers into a collective to improve their bargaining strength in the market.

\n

• RBS implementation can be effective if FPCs can be targeted to <u>identify</u> the beneficiaries and <u>channelise</u> the stipulated grant support to farmers via FPCs.

\n

 $\bullet$  Improved technology support can also augment the identification process with the help of domain expert and supporting resource institutions. \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Thus, execution of RBS at the national level should be a concerted effort and converge existing government programmes, schemes and infrastructures essential to mitigate agrarian distress.

\n

• Else, it won't be a one-stop solution either to farmer distress or to the burden of farm loan waivers.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Financial Express** 

