

Fine on Google

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The European Commission found that **Google abused its dominance** in the Internet search market to give itself an unfair advantage via comparison shopping services and slapped \$2.7 billion fine.

 $n\n$

What happened?

 $n\n$

۱n

- Google's comparison shopping service disadvantaged competitors by placing them lower in its search results.
- It systematically gave Google's own services higher placement and greater visibility, leading to more clicks.
- \bullet The fine is unlikely to pose financial problems for Google. So, the Commission has said, that it would leave it to Google to remedy the situation. \n

 $n\n$

How important is the verdict?

 $n\n$

\n

- The ruling could guide future decisions on complaints around Google favouring its own products and services via its search engine.
- The old idea that **market power cannot be used to stymie competition**, a result of which is a lowering of consumer choice and welfare, is good even when the market is new and changing rapidly.

\n

- \bullet The White House refrained from getting involved after the EU fined Google. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$
- \bullet While technology is constantly changing, valuing choice, competition and consumer welfare never gets outdated or obsolete. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

