

Firecracker Ban in NCR

Why in news?

\n\n

Supreme Court recently reinstated the 2016 temproary ban on the sale of fireworks in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Delhi}}\xspace$

\n\n

What are the criticisims against the judgment?

\n\n

∖n

• Judicial overreach - SC's judgment was driven primarily by concerns around air quality.

\n

- But it is to be noted that matters concerning policy and its implementation are best left to the legislature & executive. \n
- The courts should ideally be stepping in only when there is neglect. $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- As the governments are already working to stop polluting practices, SC's intervention is seen as a problematic overstep. \n
- **Previous experience** The 2015 verdict that banned stubble burning in Punjab has proven ineffective. \n
- The governments concerned still stumble to implement the same due to their inability to get farmers on board. \n
- Only alternative approaches like making stubble itself a renumerative commodity have contributed to reduced burning, not the ban. \n
- Rationality Much bigger factors like vehicular pollution, industries and unpaved roads factors contribute more to the disastrous air quality of Delhi. \n

• So the focus on fireworks alone seems disproportionate.

\n

\n\n

What could be fallouts of the ban?

\n\n

∖n

• An outright ban may alienate people who are in the mood for a good celebration.

\n

- Coming just 10 days before the festival, it will be tough to impose the ban on an industry that has already produced stocks. \n
- This might drive sales in black markets at inflated prices. $\slash n$
- The ban will also harm the livelihoods of numerous people involved in the fire-cracker supply chain. \n

\n\n

What could be the way forward?

\n\n

∖n

• Instead of ban, there should be a persuasive approach to give up the practise.

∖n

- Holistic solutuions that backed by sustained policy initiatives are needed to address multi-dimensional issues like pollution. \n
- Innovative ideas bagged by vigourous campaigns are needed to help people shift to eco-friendly ways. \n

1....

\n\n

SC's previous views on cracker ban

\n\n

\n

- 2015 Verdict A case seeking ban crackers throughout India was filed. $\slash n$
- It highlighted the health hazards & environmental impact of crackers. $\slash n$

- But SC categorically refused to ban people from bursting firecrackers. $\slash n$
- The court felt that it might be "dangerous" to infringe into the common man's right to enjoy his religious festivities. \n
- Nevertheless, it ordered for time stipulations (10pm 6am). \nphin
- 2016 verdict The 2016 case sought a cracker ban specific to Delhi NCR. \n
- The court imposed a ban in the wake of increased particulate matter concentration in the lower atmosphere above Delhi. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express, The Hindu

∖n

