

GDP Surprise

Why in news?

\n\n

CSO has released the data for the third quarter of 2016-17 (Oct-Dec) and concluded that the GDP in Q3 grew by 7% and the growth rate for the whole year would be 7.1%.

\n\n

Did demonetisation impact economic growth?

\n\n

\n

- The CSO data did not answer that question. $\space{1mm}\space{1$
- The argument that demonetisation did not and will not have a negative impact on the economy is very hollow and immature. \n
- Even the former CSO chief statistician, after reviewing the data, has concluded that the data (of 3^{rd} quarter) is deficient and the growth rate for the whole year is likely to be revised downward to 6.5%.
- After the **changeover to the new methodology**, the CSO publishes estimates of Gross Value Addition (GVA) and GDP. \n
- GVA growth rates during three years is given below: $\slash n$

\n\n

	*	George darrow	
Whole year	6.94	7.83	6.67 (est)
Q4	6.19	7.42	?
Q3	6.29	6.95	6.61
Q2	7.91	8.44	6.69*
Q1	7.26	7.75	6.89*
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17

*after downward revision

\n\n

\n

- Demonetisation affected every sector of the economy except three. $\n\$

\n

- it did not affect government expenditure. And the govt actually increased its spending after November 8, 2016;
- \circ it **did not affect the monsoon.** Since it was bountiful, it boosted agricultural production; and
 - \n
- it did not affect the revenues of utilities. Actually demonetised notes were allowed to be used for paying utility bills.

\n \n

• Therefore, if we look at the GVA growth rates after excluding government, agriculture and utilities, it will show the real picture of the economy. And it looks like this:

∖n

\n\n

	2015-16	2016-17
Q1	9.26	7.35
Q2	9.82	6.47
Q3	9.98	5.73
Q4	8.76	?

\n\n

What conclusion can be made from above?

\n\n

\n

- From the above data, it is clear that economic growth in the non-government, non-agricultural economy slowed down since Q4 of 2015-16. \n
- Demonetisation that happened in the middle of Q3 actually **accelerated the decline of economic growth.**

\n

\n\n

Why the situation is still bleak?

\n\n

∖n

• While the GVA/GDP data may have given a surprise, other **indicators point to an economy that is not investing more**, not producing more and not creating more jobs.

\n

- Compare the GVA growth numbers in Q3 of 2015-16 and Q3 of 2016-17. In the Mining, Manufacturing, Construction, etc., the GVA growth number has declined sharply in 2016-17. $\normalfont{\sc n}$
- In Q3 of 2016-17, the Index of Industrial Production (IIP) recorded a meagre growth of 0.2%.
- Bank credit growth to industry was negative at 4.3%. $\slash n$
- By September 2016, net fixed assets of all firms had declined by 9.36%.

\n

- When data for the informal sector is also captured, the GVA growth number will be moderated and will be lower. \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

∖n

