



Generalist vs specialist

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The debate on generalists and specialists is kick-started with rising demands for lateral entry into civil services.
- Also, the changing nature of government creates the need for a reassessment of the nature of civil servants involved in administration.

\n

\n\n

What is the need for specialisation?

\n\n

\n

- **Changing nature** - The Indian Administrative Service(IAS) was modelled on the colonial era Indian Civil Service as a generalist service.
- It was conceived primarily to deliver the core functions of the state such as tax collection and maintenance of law and order.
- But with the evolution of public administration and economic reforms changing the State's role, there is a higher demand for domain knowledge at policy level.
- **Drawbacks** - IAS, as generalists, tend to over-weigh their experience of the process and form over understanding of policy content.
- Generalists heading specialised areas seems to be an inefficient arrangement at times.
- There is also a misconception that only generalists who have a breadth of understanding and experience can provide best leadership.

- \n
- All these have raised questions about the role and relevance of the generalised IAS.
- \n

\n\n

What could be done?

\n\n

- \n
- The Constitution Review Commission 2002 suggested the “need to specialise some of the generalists and generalise some of the specialists”.
- \n
- However the task of managing specialisation needs the consideration of many factors:
- \n
- **When** - From generalised field postings in the initial decade of service, an IAS gradually moves to policy formulating positions.
- \n
- This mid-career level transition provides the ideal marker for beginning to specialise.
- \n
- **How** - Possibly, complying to the demands of behavioural attributes and aptitudes, ministries could be broadly categorised into three groups - welfare, regulatory and economic ministries.
- \n
- Secondly, specialisation process needs to be flexible according to the preference of IAS officers.
- \n
- Given this flexibility, it is also essential that the government make the process more predictable and transparent to avoid favouritism.
- \n
- Thirdly, once allocated to specialist positions, officers should be provided study and training for deepening their domain knowledge.
- \n
- In addition to these, the specialised lateral entrants should be required to “generalise” through field postings.
- \n
- The nature of policy-making at present demands that specialist **expertise** has to go with generalist **experience**.
- \n
- Thus, proper cadre management that provides for a right proportion of generalisation and specialisation can improve the efficiency and relevance of civil services.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n



IAS PARLIAMENT

Information is Empowering

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative