

# **Global No First use policy**

#### .Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The strategy to reduce the usage of nuclear weapons has to shift from non-proliferation to no-first use policy in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

 $n\n$ 

# What is a No first use policy?

 $n\n$ 

\n

 A No First Use pledge(NFU) or a policy refers to any authoritative statement by a nuclear weapon state to <u>never be the first</u> to use these weapons in a conflict.

۱n

- It will be reserved strictly <u>only to retaliate</u> in the aftermath of a nuclear attack against its territory or military personnel.
- $\bullet$  These pledges are a component of a country's nuclear declaratory policies.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- As such, there is no diplomatic arrangement to enforce a declaratory NFU pledge.

\n

• States with such pledges would be technically able to still use nuclear weapons first in a conflict.

\n

• China is the only nuclear weapon state to maintain an unconditional NFU pledge.

\n

- $\bullet$  China declared its NFU policy in 1964, and has since maintained this policy.  $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- India first adopted a "No first use" policy after its second nuclear tests, Pokhran-II, in 1998 and articulated the policy in 2003.

### What is the need for a GNFU policy?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The method of trying to prevent more countries from possessing a nuclear weapon has failed.

\n

- They first selectively proliferated nuclear weapons technology to their allies, while trying to keep it away from their adversaries.
- Though they promised to disarm it later, they demanded the rest of the countries to not build their own arsenals in return.
- They pulled out of this promise too later and decided that they'll keep their bombs in perpetuity, while insisting that the rest forever uphold their nobomb commitments.

\n

• However, it became inevitable that the countries like India, Pakistan, North Korea etc., followed these developed nations in building their own nuclear arsenals.

\n

• So the only realistic idea for the 21st century is the prevention of first-use of nuclear weapons.

\n

• Global no-first use can co-exist with, even reinforce, the movement towards disarmament.

۱n

• Thus, getting the world's powers to commit to no-first use, followed by reducing their nuclear arsenalbuild up are the initial steps.

 $n\n$ 

## What is the role of India in this regard?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- India recently announced that its Ship Submersible Ballistic Nuclear Submarine (SSBN), the <u>INS Arihant</u>, had completed its first deterrent patrol.
- This moves the nation a step closer to <u>actualising the nuclear 'triad'</u> i.e is a three-pronged military force structure that consists of land-launched nuclear missiles, nuclear-missile-armed submarines and strategic aircraft with

nuclear bombs and missiles.

\n

• However, the completion of the triad calls for a profound review of India's policy on nuclear weapons.

\n

 India must shift focus from negotiating our way through international nuclear weapons control regimes, to shaping a world where these weapons of mass destructions are not used.

\n

• India must go from the <u>defensive to the assertive strategy</u>, wherein India must champion a global no-first use (GNFU) treaty.

\n

• The nuclear powers of the West, including Russia, are wedded to their longstanding doctrines and processes.

\n

• They pretend that non-proliferation works only by imposing sanctions on countries trying to make their own bombs, and they make deals with those who succeed in this endeavour.

۱n

• This is because the nuclear powers are simultaneously improving their own arsenals.

\n

 $\bullet$  This shows that the risks of an accidental nuclear war are mounting.

• On the other hand, countries like China prefer GNFU and has had a no-first use doctrine for quite a while, and is likely to want to maintain that line.

• Thus, there is scope here for India and China to collaborate at the level of international security.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### **Source: Business standard**

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

