

Global State of Democracy Index

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA) recently released its report, "The Global State of Democracy Index (GSoD)".

 $n\n$

What is the report on?

 $n\n$

۱n

• The report tried to evaluate the state of democracy in the world by looking at the trends in democratisation from 1975 to 2017.

۱n

 \bullet The study covers a variety of important indicators such as $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$

 $n\n$

\n

i. representative government

ii. fundamental rights

iii. checks on the government

iv. impartial administration

 $n\$

What are the highlights?

 $n\n$

\n

• Representative government - India and Sri Lanka have maintained relatively high scores in this regard.

۱n

• On the other hand, Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Nepal and Pakistan have had periods of non-elected regimes.

\n

• However, the general trend in South Asia has been positive.

• **Fundamental rights** - South Asia's score matches that of Asia Pacific but it is slightly below the global average.

۱n

• At the country level, Afghanistan and Nepal have seen the most improvement.

\n

• Sri Lanka and Pakistan saw a slight decline in this parameter in the 1970s and 1980s.

\n

• India's score has been stable since the late 1970s, but a decline has been observed since 2015.

\n

• **Gender equality** - South Asia, in general, shows a steady improvement in gender equality.

۱n

 \bullet Nepal fared better than its counterparts.

\n

• However, India's score has been getting low since 2003.

• Checks on government - South Asia's score witnessed a steady increase from 1975 to 1994.

\n

- Bangladesh, India and Sri Lanka have remained relatively stable with scores in line with the global average.
- Impartial administration South Asia follows both the regional and global trends with no significant change, with the exception of improvements in Nepal.

\n

 However, the sub-index "absence of corruption" shows a worrying tendency in South Asia as the region has the lowest scores in the world.

• Personal integrity and security - South Asia's scores for electoral

participation are in line with the global average but are slightly below the Asia Pacific average.

۱n

- \bullet Since 1977, India scores well below the world average in this parameter.
- However, a slight increase in voter participation, along with Sri Lanka, was witnessed recently.

\n

• In all, the report stresses on the following indicator for popularity of democracies:

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- i. transparency in political processes
- ii. accountability of elected representatives
- iii. basic freedoms for all citizens
- iv. equal rights for women and minorities
- v. high rates of voter participation \n

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet On the other hand, corruption, nepotism and unemployment make people lose faith in democracy. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

\n\n

How does India fare in the report?

 $n\n$

\n

• The report says that India had done **moderately well** on all the above mentioned indicators.

\n

• The Election Commission's **Systematic Voters Education for Electoral Participation Programme** has played an important role in conducting free and fair elections in the country.

· Also, an independent judiciary keeps a check on the government and

ensure a transparent and accountable system.

۱n

• India witnessed drastic increase in "Civil society participation" between 1978 and 2012.

\n

• But after this period, it declined drastically, affecting deliberative decision making in the country.

۱n

• On "**media integrity**", though India fared better than the global and South Asian average between 1994 and 2012, the country's score has fallen in 2017.

\n

 There has been a significant dip in the country's record on civil liberties, personal integrity and security, freedom of association, media integrity, gender equality and basic welfare.

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

\n

- Though India holds the highest rating among South Asian democracies, its performances on several yardsticks makes it a flawed democracy.
- Democracy does not merely mean voting rights for people, but rather empowering people by granting them equality.
- Thus there must be serious introspection among all stakeholders to make the world's largest democracy the world's greatest.

 $n\n$

\n\n

Source: The Indian Express

\n

