



## Governance Issues - Public sector banks

### Why in news?

\n\n

Bad loans of public sector banks are Snowballing and it poses a systemic risk to the country's economic system.

\n\n

### What are the issues plaguing the PSB's?

\n\n

\n

- Banks lend longer term loans to large infrastructure projects, although their expertise lies in granting short term working capital loans.

\n

- Senior government officials, with political backing, hold overarching control over banks.

\n

- This has led to poor choice of the top management for the banks and inadequate governance standards.

\n

- RBI's latest **financial stability report** considers the risks of asset quality deterioration and additional capital requirement of banks to be "high".

\n

\n\n

\n

- This was shown by huge write-offs on PSB's loans, once the firms go in for bankruptcy and the subsequent necessity for huge recapitalisation of the banks.

\n

- Though it creates a substantial fiscal burden, it is necessary to enable the banks meet their minimum **capital adequacy requirements**.

\n

- Even then banks will generate a substantial pile of fresh bad debts, if there is

no change in the governance structures that created bad loans before.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the measures taken so far?**

\n\n

\n

- Banks Board Bureau was created to bring about a fundamental change in the selection of top management and governance standards.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Asset quality reviews was initiated in order to end window-dressing and recognise non-performing assets (NPAs) to **avoid evergreen lending**.
- Large NPAs of over Rs.2,000 crores, once failed to achieve a resolution within 180 days will have to be referred immediately under IBC.

\n

\n\n

### **How Project sashakt will be useful in this regard?**

\n\n

\n

- Large defaulters, above Rs.500 crores, will be brought under it to create a vibrant market for **online trading of stressed assets**.
- Banks will first pass on the defaulted accounts to an asset reconstruction company(ARC).
- ARC will restructure the assets, define haircuts and transfer their ownership to one or more asset management companies (AMCs), which will be funded by sector-specific alternative investment funds (AIFs).
- This makes banks to get paid for the restructured assets with the ownership passing on to the AMC-AIFs.
- Failure to complete the process in 180 days will attract IBC proceedings under NCLT for the stressed company.
- Still it doesn't make much headway, since bank managements become reluctant to agree to substantial haircuts or discounting of their dues.

\n

\n\n

## What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- Around 60% of experts feel that “continuous rise of NPAs and falling governance standards in banks continue to be a cause for concern.”

\n

- The RBI says in its **annual report for 2017-18** that over the medium term growth will depend on “resolution of banking and corporate financial stress.”

\n

- **Both IBC and Sashakt take care of “continues rise in NPAs” but not “governance standards”.**

\n

- Hence, bad debts will reappear with the government being compelled to recapitalise the banks again.

\n

- That would amount to a systemic risk with fiscal instability and insufficient bank credit becoming a periodic feature in the country.

\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n\n

\n



# IAS PARLIAMENT

*Information is Empowering*

A Shankar IAS Academy Initiative