

# **Gujarat Rajya Sabha Election**

#### Why in news?

\n\n

Two votes cast in Gujarat Rajya Sabha election were invalidated, when two electors cast their ballots and showed it.

\n\n

### What is the ground for invalidation?

\n\n

∖n

• As per the Conduct of Election Rules 1961, Rajya Sabha elections calls for a ballot-in-secret.

\n

- Rule 39A mandates that the elector cannot declare his/her ballot to anyone.  $\slashn$
- Any deviation in this results in the invalidation of the ballot by the presiding officer.

\n

\n\n

#### How can secret voting be achieved?

\n\n

∖n

- Secrecy aims to protect the vote as it affords the right to the voter to keep silent over the choice of candidate.  $\n$
- This is achieved by two means The duty-based measure and the rightsbased measure.

\n

• The rights-based measure provides the voter the right to keep his/her vote secret.

\n

- According to this, election authorities should provide voting facilities that do not disclose the vote. But the voter can choose not to opt for secrecy.  $\n$
- The duty-based measure imposes secrecy as a statutory duty not only on the election authorities but also on the voter.
- The voter even by his/her consent cannot declare his/her choice; doing so would invalidate his/her vote.
- Rule 39A creates secrecy in the nature of a duty-based measure.  $\slash n$

\n\n

## What are the flaws in this system?

\n\n

∖n

- It is argued that the voter should not be given an option to declare his/her vote because the flexibility would allow others to pressure the voter informally into declaring his/her choice.
- In reality, Rule 39AA of the Conduct of Election Rules defeats this purpose.  $\n$
- Rule 39AA mandates that an elector belonging to a political party must declare his vote to the party agent, if the political party has issued a whip regarding the vote.

∖n

• Refusing to do so is a violation of the election procedure and the vote stands invalidated.

\n

- It allows for internal voter intimidation by parties.  $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- Also, Rule 39A applies only while the election process is underway.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- It does not prohibit a voter from declaring his/her vote after the process is completed.
  - ∖n
- It cannot control the behaviour of the elector outside the ballot box.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- Therefore, the scheme of duty-based secrecy fails.  $\n$

\n\n

## What should be done?

\n\n

\n

• Universal declaration could ease the political pressure that an elector might face.

\n

\n\n

- ∖n
- Ballot secrecy should be guided by the idea of "consent" or "choice"; the means to adopt should be the rights-based measure.  $\n$

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express** 

∖n

